SARPcheck Programme Manual (SCPM)







Edition 1, Revision 01

27th March 2024



COPYRIGHT

This SARPcheck Procedures Manual is the property of Safety Audit Review Partnership (SARP)© 2024. Any copying, reproduction or unauthorised distribution is prohibited.



Table of Contents

1. Administration of the SCPM	7
1.1. Statement from the SARPcheck Board	7
1.2. Revisions Log	7
1.3. Acronyms	8
1.4. Definitions	9
1.5. Control & Revisions	13
1.6. General & Disclaimer	14
2. INTRODUCTION	15
2.1. The SARPcheck Programme	15
2.2. Authority	16
2.3. The Key Principles	17
2.4. SARPcheck Logo Utilisation and public communication Policy for operators	19
2.5. SARPcheck Logo Utilisation Policy for SQOs	21
2.6. SARPcheck Logo Utilisation Policy for auditors	21
2.7. SARPcheck IP	21
2.8. Use of Auditee logos	23
3. GOVERNANCE	24
3.1. Governance Introduction	24
3.2. SARPcheck Board	24
3.3. Complaints to the SCB	24
3.4. SARPcheck Steering Group (SCSG)	25
3.5. SCSG Meetings	25
3.6. SCSG Workshops	26
3.7. Stakeholders Oversight Group (SOG)	26
3.8. SOG Voting	28
3.9. Deviations from the SCPM	28
4. DOCUMENTATION	30
4.1. Introduction to Documentation	30
4.2. The Checklist	30
4.3. Checklist Revision	31
4.4. Other documentation	32
4.5. Document control & storage	32
5. THE REGISTRY	33
5.1. Registry Introduction	33



5.2. SARPcheck Phase I	33
5.3. SARPcheck Phase II	33
5.4. Registry Hosting	33
5.5. Responsibility to update the Registry	34
5.6. Period on the Registry and falling off the Registry	34
6. THE OPERATOR	36
6.1. Operator Introduction	36
6.2. Eligibility to participate in the SARPcheck Programme	36
6.3. Operators without a valid AOC	37
6.4. Initiating an audit	38
6.5. Operator's responsibilities & disclosures prior to an audit	38
6.6. Operator's responsibilities during the registration period	39
6.7. Operator's responsibilities upon falling off the Registry	40
6.8. Insurance requirements	41
6.9. Audit Agreement	41
6.10. Corrective Action Agreement	41
6.11. Payment of Fees	42
7. THE SQO	43
7.1. Introduction to the SQO	43
7.2. General Requirements	43
7.3. Conflicts of Interest & Rotation	43
7.4. Oversight from the SARPcheck Board	44
7.5. Quality Assurance	44
7.6. Training requirements	45
7.7. Auditor management & administration	45
7.8. SQO's responsibilities prior to an audit:	46
7.9. SQO's responsibilities following an audit	50
7.10. Post-audit quality control	51
7.11. Notifications	52
7.12. Complaints	52
8. THE AUDITOR	53
8.1. Introduction to the Auditor	53
8.2. Requirements for new Auditors	53
8.3. Auditor Qualification Matrix Methodology	56
8.4. Lead Auditor requirements	56
8.5. Auditor recurrency requirements	57
8.6. Lead Auditor recurrency requirements	57



8.7. Auditor evaluation programme	57
8.7.1. KPIs (Key Performance Indicators)	57
8.7.2. Observation programme	57
8.8. Conflicts of Interest & Rotation	58
9. PHASE I - THE AUDIT	59
9.1. Introduction to Phase I - the Audit	59
9.2. Operator Questionnaire	59
9.3. Pre-audit briefing	59
9.4. Exemptions	60
9.5. Audit composition and duration	60
9.6. Observers	61
9.7. Operator's facilities	61
9.8. Opening meeting	61
9.9. Auditor audit conduct	62
9.10. Risk based process	63
9.11. Observational Assessments	64
9.12. Non-Compliances	66
9.13. Closing meeting	67
9.14. Preliminary audit result	67
9.15. Audit report	67
9.16. Confidentiality of audit reports	68
10. PHASE II – THE CORRECTIVE ACTION PROCESS	68
10.1. Introduction to Phase II	68
10.2. Corrective Action Process	68
10.3. Making the Phase II data available to the Operator	70
11. AUDITOR GUIDANCE	71
11.1. Introduction to Auditor Guidance	71
11.2. Auditing Methodology	71
11.2.1. Introduction to Auditing Methodology	72
11.2.2. Use of SARPcheck checklist and ICAO SARPs	72
11.2.3. Assessing documentation and implementation	72
11.2.4. Assessing N/A	78
11.2.5. Assessing Recommendations	80
11.2.6. Types of non-compliances	81
11.3. Keyword Guidance	82
11.3.1. Introduction:	82
11.3.2. Concepts:	83



11.3.3. Activities:	94
11.3.4. Desired Outcomes:	105
11.3.5. Entities/Actors:	112
11.3.6. Areas of Focus:	117
11.3.7. Key SARPcheck Programme Terms:	127
12. THE AUDIT SOFTWARE	137
12.1. Introduction to the SARPcheck Audit Software	137
12.2. Administration and responsibilities	137
12.3. Logins	138
13. DATA	139
13.1. Introduction to Data	139
13.2. Data processing & storage	139
13.3. Ownership of non-identified data	140
14. MISCELLANEOUS	141
14.1. Suspend AOC & removal from SARPcheck registry	141
14.2. Incidents and Accidents	141
14.3. Black-Listed/ banned Operator	142



1. Administration of the SCPM

1.1. Statement from the SARPcheck Board

We, the SARPcheck Board, made up of representatives of the accredited SQOs and empowered by Safety Audit Review Partnership (SARP) are pleased to provide you with the SARPcheck Programme and its documentation, including this SCPM.

The SCP was created on an important list of Key Principles (outlined in this document) which we believe provide an audit programme which is safety-led, efficient, cutting edge and fair. This SCPM shall reflect those Key Principles, along with other important procedural matters.

We hereby endorse the latest revision, outlined in the section below, of this SCPM.

The SARPcheck Board

Safety Audit Review Partnership (SARP) Limited

12 Old Bond Street, Mayfair, London, W1S 4PW, UK

27/03/2024

1.2. Revision Status

The following is a list of revisions made to this SCPM:

Table 1: Revisions Log

Revision No.	Date of Revision	Revisions Made
00	12 December 2023	Issue Edition 1 Rev 00
01	27 March 2024	Edition 1 Rev 01



1.3. Acronyms

The following is a list of Acronyms used throughout this SCPM:

ACMI	Aircraft Crew Maintenance and Insurance (Aircraft Lease)
AOC	Air Operating Certificate
APU	Auxillary Power Unit
AVN 5E	Aviation Insurance Group 5E
САМО	Continuing Airworthiness Management Organisation
CV	Curriculum Vitae
DG	Dangerous Goods
DSP	Dispatch
EASA	European Union Aviation Safety Agency
EU GDPR	European Union general data protection regulation
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration (USA)
GRH	Ground Handling
HQ	Headquarters
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
ICAO SARPs	Standards and Recommended Practices laid down by ICAO
IP	Intellectual Property
ISO	International Standandards Organization
VL	Joint Venture
КРІ	Key Performance Indicator
LU	Load Unit
MAL	Master Auditor List
MRO	Maintenance Repair Overhaul
N/A	Not applicable
NC	Non-Compliance
NFP	Not-For-Profit
NRCAA	
NACAA	National or Regional Civil Aviation Authority
ORG	National or Regional Civil Aviation Authority Organisation (Checklist Criteria Section)



SARP	SARP
SC	SARPcheck
SCA	SARPcheck Audit
SCB	SARPcheck Board
SCP	SARPcheck Programme
SCPF	SARPcheck Preparation File
SCPM	SARPcheck Programme Manual
SCSG	SARPcheck Steering Group
SEC	Security
SMS	Safety Management System
SOG	SARPcheck Oversight Group
SOP	Standard Operating Procedures
SPO	Sponsor Organisation
SQO	Safety & Quality Organisation
USP	Unique Selling Point

*Acronyms are not always used in lieu of the full term.

1.4. Definitions

The following definitions are used in this SCPM:

ACMI Operators	means an operator who provides ACMI operations to third
	parties
Additional Insured	means a party who is named on an insurance policy as
	additionally insured
Ad-hoc/Charter Flights	means non-scheduled flights
Audit Information Form	means a form provided by the SQO to its Auditors, giving
	details of an audit
Audit Software	means the software used to conduct the SARPcheck Audit
Auditee	means an Operator who is undergoing a SARPcheck Audit
Auditor	means a SARPcheck auditor, as defined in this SCPM



Auditors Cloud Server	means a conver bested by a third party to an SOO to which the
Auditors Cloud Server	means a server, hosted by a third party to an SQO, to which the
	Auditors have access
Business Aviation Operators	means an aircraft operator of business aircraft (e.g. business
	jets)
Checklist	means the SARPcheck checklist, as part of the SCP
Closing Meeting	means the meeting conducted at the end of an Audit
Closing Manager	means a person designated by the SQO who reviews Findings
	and Observations Corrective Action Plans and evidence and
	decides whether or not Findings can be closed
Confidential Information	means means any information, technical data or know-how,
	including, but not limited to, information relating to business
	and product or service plans, financial projections, customer
	lists, business forecasts, sales and merchandising, human
	resources, patents, patent applications, computer object or
	source code, research, inventions, processes, designs,
	drawings, engineering, marketing or finance to be confidential
	or proprietary or which information would, under the
	circumstances, appear to a reasonable person to be
	confidential or proprietary.
Contracting States	means a state (country) which is contracted to ICAO
Corrective Action	means the action taken by an Auditee to correct a Non-
	Compliance
Corrective Action Plan	means a plan, delivered by the auditee, for correcting Findings
	and /or Observations
FLY OPS SUPPORT	means a section of the Checklist
Findings	means a description of a Non-Compliance to the SARPcheck
	Audit Criteria/Checklist item which is a standard
ICAO Annexes	means the Annexes, published by ICAO, containing the ICAO
	SARPs
ICAO SARPs	means aviation Standards and Recommended Practices,
	provided by ICAO



ICAO Standards	means ICAO SARPs
Intellectual Property	means intangible property, as a result of creativity
Invalid Deviation	means a deviation, from the procedures set out herein, request
	by an SQO which is invalid for the reasons set out in this SCPM
Key Principles	means the Key Principles of this SCP and are the principles by
<i>,</i> .	which the SCP is administered, as outlined in 2.3 below
L1 Finding	means a Finding which is a safety related concern or identified
-	risk
L2 Finding	means a Finding which is a not considered a Safety related
-	concern or identified risk
Load Unit	means a unit of work in terms of the Checklist items
Non-Compliances	means a non-compliance with a Checklist Item
	Observational Assessment means the observations done as
	part of an Audit, which are mandatory to the programme
Observations	means a description of a Non-Compliance to the SARPcheck
	Audit Criteria/Checklist however the corrective action is only
	recommended and not required
Observers	means a party who is not directly involved in an Audit, but is
	present to observe it
Opening Meeting	means the meeting conducted at the start of an Audit
Operator	means an aircraft operator
OPS Auditor	means an auditor who is qualified to conduct Ground Handling,
	Cargo and Security audit areas
Phase I	means the SARPcheck Audit
Phase II	means the SARPcheck Corrective Action process
Phase II Agreement	means the means the agreement between the SQO and the
	Operator to perform Phase II services, based on the standard
	template provided by SCB
Pre-Audit Documents	means documents to be supplied by the Operator, prior to the
	audit



Pre-Audit Meeting	means a meeting done prior to the Audit, to discuss logistics
0	etc.
Pre-Audit Questionnaire	means a questionnaire provided to the operator, for
	information, prior to the Audit
Preliminary Audit Report	means a short report giving details of the Audit and the
, ,	Preliminary Audit Results
Preliminary Audit Results	means the number of Findings and/or Observations following
	the Audit
Product	means the Product of the Audit (audit report, registry entry
	etc.)
Programme Evaluator	means an auditor, who has qualified as a Programme Evaluator
	in accordance with the relevant sections of this SCPM, who is
	qualified to evaluate and assess other auditors' performance
Quality Assurance	means the implementation of internal Quality related
	procedures provided by a Quality Management System
Registry	means the publicly available database registry containing the
	operators who have undergone a SARPcheck Audit
Root Cause	means the root cause of the reason a Finding exists
SARPcheck Audit	means an audit completed within the SCP
	SARPcheck Audit Agreement means the agreement between
	the SQO and the Operator to perform the Audit, based on the
	standard template provided by SCB
SARPcheck Audit Criteria	means the Checklist items' criteria
	SARPcheck Auditor means an Auditor, (without the FLY or
	CAMO designation) that is qualified to conduct a SCA and is
	qualified under the general requirements but not qualified to
	audit Flight, Maintenance or CAMO SARPs.
	SARPCheck Auditor CAMO means an Auditor who is
	qualified to conduct CAMO and maintenance audit areas
	SARPCheck Auditor FLY means an Auditor who is
	qualified to conduct flight Audit areas



SARPcheck Lead Auditor	means an auditor, who has qualified as a Lead Auditor in
	accordance with the relevant sections of this SCPM and who is
	the team leader of the Audit team with the responsibilities set
	out herein

SARPcheck Programme	mean the aviation audit programme known as SARPCheck							
SARPCheck Safety Assessment	means an assessment done by an SQO, following a specified							
	event, to check limited details of an Operator							
SARPcheck Website	The website at the address www.sarp.org							
	SARP Limited means the Not-For-Profit company, Safety Audit							
	Review Partnership Limited, the administrator of the							
	SARPcheck							

Stakeholders	means	any	party	that	has	an	interest	in	the	SCP	or	any
	particu	lar Aı	udit									
Standard	means a requirement that is given by an authority											

*Note: where the relevant sections of this SCPM define a definition in sufficient detail, they have not been included in this section.

1.5. Control & Revisions

This SCPM is under the control of the SOG. A master copy of the controlled document shall be kept on a secured and shareable Google Drive space. Any copies that are made of this document shall become uncontrolled once distributed. A copy of this document shall also be made available on the SARPcheck website.

The SOG shall delegate the revisions of this SCPM to a committee who shall discuss and make revisions once per calendar year.



Special revisions can be made to this document outside of the normal revision timetable, upon request of the SOG.

Any revisions shall be presented to the SOG and the SOG shall vote to implement the revision proposals of the committee. In the event that the SOG does not agree to implement any revisions, the SOG shall provide the reasons why to the committee, who shall then make further revisions, taking into account those reasons. The further revisions shall then be passed back to the SOG where it will be automatically accepted and implemented by the SOG.

The SCB also have the power to request revisions of the SCPM and they shall be implemented in the latest revision, without reference to the SOG.

Any new revisions shall be notified to all stakeholders by way of official notification from the SOG and the new version shall be uploaded to the SARPCheck Website.

1.6. General & Disclaimer

Although there are chapters of this SCPM which are titled specifically for the intended stakeholder (for example "the Operator" or "the Auditor"), there may also be requirements/obligations/relevant sections outlined elsewhere within this document which effects that stakeholder and therefore each stakeholder is advised to read/take into account the entire SCPM and not just those sections which they believe are relevant to them.

This SCPM is written solely with the intention of providing information on the governance of the SCP and assisting persons/companies/bodies who are or have the intention of participating in the SCP.

The SCB and the SARP Limited expressly disclaims any and all liability to any person/company/body in respect of anything done or not done by a reader in reliance of this SCPM. To that effect this SCPM, the checklist and the SCP are not intended to be taken as advice/consultancy on any matter, including the operating of commercial aircraft.



2. INTRODUCTION

2.1. The SARPcheck Programme

SARPcheck, branded as "the ICAO SARP Compliance Tool", is a complete compliance audit against all applicable ICAO Standards with a special focus on reliability and easy accessibility for participating operators. SARPcheck is risk-based without down-sampling of the number of audited SARPs, conducted by some of the most highly reputed global Safety and Quality Organisations (SQOs). All relevant ICAO SARPs for commercial airline operators of the ICAO Annexes 1, 6, 8, 18 and 19 are checked every 24 months, transparently governed by airlines, regulators and other industry partners using a public registry, a not-for-profit governance structure and an honest Progressive-Label-Approach (instead of White-Label).

The Product comprises four highly experienced, trained and standardised aviation auditors conducting a full four-day on-site audit of all safety-relevant operations, including flight, cabin, dispatch, maintenance and ground operations. The SQO will then, valid for 24 months, certify the conduct stating the number of non-compliances (NCs), expose identified safety hazards and/ or risks to the operators and add the operator to the public registry. In an optional and progressive stage the SQO will certify the closing of NCs identified and confirm that the Risk Mitigation actions and/ or Risk Controls as specified by the operator as a response to the identified risks are capable ro reduce such risks as low as reasonably practicable. Auditees can share the SARPcheck report including non-Compliances with industry peers at their own discretion.

For audited operators ("auditees") the program defines USPs as follows:

- Accelerating commercial agreements for ACMI, wet lease, charters, codeshares
- Affordable fee, pragmatic program
- Third party audit report including relevant feedback on operations and safety management
- Enhances regulatory acceptance of oversight over operational areas within the scope of SCAs



For audit report users such as codeshare partners, charter customers, wet-lease customers, tour operators and similar, the program defines USPs as follows:

- Every applicable ICAO SARP checked every 24 months
- Effective and pragmatic risk-based audit methodology
- Industry governance including operators and regulators
- Honest Safety-Level through Progressive-Label-Approach
- Facilitating data management related to oversight

The program is available for global airplane operators and airlines of all sizes, offering the following advantages at a glance:

- All ICAO SARPs checked every 24 months
- Audited by independent Organisations
- Public Registry
- Progressive-Label-Approach
- Risk-based methodology
- Stakeholder Governance

2.2. Authority

Legal Foundation: The administrative body of SARPcheck is a Not-For-Profit company, Safety Audit Review Partnership (SARP) Limited. The SCB is empowered by SARP Limited..

Jurisdiction: SARP Limited is a not for profit company, limited by guarantee, under the laws of England and Wales.

Organisational Mandate, Powers and Limitations: The SARPcheck Program is universally applicable to any organisation within its scope of applicability, including all sizes, locations and types of operations. The SCA is a tool to assess an Operator's compliance with applicable ICAO SARPs. With that, it remains the Operator's responsibility at all times to ensure its own compliance with any framework the Operator wants to be or has to be in compliance with, including applicable ICAO Annexes. An SCA, as per the nature of an audit, represents a snapshot



at the time of the audit in which completeness and accuracy is subject to a number of factors on the sides of all stakeholders. Whilst the SARPcheck Programme puts best efforts into achieving a high degree of standardisation and utilising the best methods and experts, an SCA outcome can never be considered ultimately exhaustive. As a compliance assessment tool, the SCP provides for two levels of support. As a baseline the Operator will be audited against all applicable SARPs during the SCA. As a voluntary second step, the Operator can select to close any non-compliances and have these non-compliance closures assessed by an SQO.

Accountability: Ensuring and assessing the Operator's compliance with applicable laws and regulations falls under the sole responsibility of the Operator at all times. Amongst other essential elements, the SCA is a tool for the Operator to support such efforts.

Relationship with Other Entities: The SCP strives to keep close relationships with any affected and interested stakeholders such as regulators, authorities and airlines. Such parties are invited and encouraged to actively engage in the governance of the program, which strives to develop the SCP as an industry-driven and industry-accepted program.

2.3. The Key Principles

The following is a list of the Key Principles in which the SARPcheck Programme shall abide by:

- High Level Principles
 - The SARPcheck Programme is created to further enhance quality and safety across the civil aviation industry
 - Each SQO shall strive to further the SARPcheck Programme and shall not knowingly harm it
- Product & production
 - SQOs shall build a checklist that encompasses the standards in applicable ICAO Annexes.
 - A cross-reference list document between the checklist and the applicable ICAO annexes shall be built.



- The findings are of 2 levels (1 Safety risk identified; 2 Compliance risk identified).
 Observations can be raised for safety improvement/effectiveness criteria.
- There are two stages to certification and registry entries, the first being the completion of the audit, the second being verification that findings are closed.
- There shall be three levels of service offered by the SQOs:
 - Phase I: The conduct of the audit. Deliverable: Audit report & limited registry entry - Completed checklist, executive summaries and list of findings/observations.
 - Consultancy Stage: Consultancy services in relation to closure of findings done by an SQO that shall stay not involved in Stage 1 and 2 (optional)
 - Phase II: The verification of the evidence for closure of the finding(s). Deliverable:
 A written decision as to whether a finding is closed or not by updating the audit report. If all findings are closed full registry entry.
- General:
 - o Common product name, branding, product communication guidelines
 - o 2-year audit validity term
 - Risk-based principles
 - o SQOs and Auditors cannot perform 2 consecutive audits
 - Common process for audit methodology/programme manual
 - The technical and operational aspects of the programme to be governed, except for matters affecting the Key Principles or the Programme's administration, by a body of representatives, to include third party members (not just SQO members).
 - o Common requirements for auditor qualification, training and evaluation
 - o Common requirements for SQO Quality Assurance
 - o Audit data to be accessible (by consent) to third party stakeholders
 - Guarantee verification of any audit data for third party stakeholders of an audit
 - Programme to have a technology partner with a software solution for all stages of the programme processes to be administered upon and data processed thereof
- Price:
 - The prices for Stage 1 and Stage 2 are fixed.
 - For Stage 2, there shall be a fixed price per finding



- Variable costs shall be paid by the Operators, travel rules/principles to be standardised
- Commercial incentives (training etc.) to be prohibited for programme integrity
- Pricing to be accessible
- Market:
 - We are addressing companies that are looking for a safety label recognised by some reference operators (sponsors) and regulators.
- Governance:
 - Each SQO is independent.
 - An independent joint oversight committee of a non-profit organisation governs, evaluates and improves the programme on a continuous basis based on international quality management principles.

2.4. SARPcheck Logo Utilisation and public communication Policy for operators

Operators audited under the SARPcheck Programme are eligible for using a specific SARPcheck logo stamp provided by the SARPcheck Programme management for the duration of time from the beginning until the end of the registration term as displayed on SARPcheck's public registry:

- Basic tier level stamp confirms that the operator has completely been audited by SARPcheck including the conclusion of Observational Assessments.
- Higher tier level stamp confirms that the operator has been assessed as being in full compliance with all applicable SARPcheck checklist items as determined by an SQO.

Such stamps may be utilised in any type of communication as desired by the operator provided the use of the SARPcheck logo, stamp and name will never be utilised in a context that could negatively affect or damage the standing or reputation of the SARPcheck Programme or any aspect thereof.

For any other intended use of the SARPcheck logo, brand and/ or name than stated above, prior written approval from the SCB must be obtained before issuing any verbal or written



communications intended for commercial or competitive advantage that involve the SARPcheck Programme. This includes, but is not limited to:

- Media releases, both verbal and written.
- Press conferences or public announcements.
- Advertising via television, radio, or print media.
- Business paraphernalia such as business cards, stickers, or letterheads.
- Digital platforms including websites, email signatures.
- Public signage like signs, notices, billboards, and similar displays.
- Aircraft or equipment markings, including decals.
- Customer service materials with branding.
- Published materials like brochures, magazines, and newsletters.

Logo Restrictions:

The use of the SARPcheck logo is strictly controlled. Exceptions may be granted for specific circumstances like press releases, subject to prior approval from the SCB.

Unauthorised use of the logo, particularly in the context of suggesting endorsement or affiliation beyond the scope of the audit, is prohibited.

Correction of Misstatements:

In line with the SARPcheck Audit Agreement, the SCB reserves the right to address and correct any public statements made by an audited operator that are found to be incorrect or misleading.

Any costs incurred in making these corrections may be charged to the operator responsible for the misstatement.

This policy aims to ensure that the SARPcheck brand is used appropriately, reflecting the integrity and standards of the audit process, while preventing any misuse that could undermine the program's credibility or objectives. Compliance with these guidelines is mandatory for all participating operators.



2.5. SARPcheck Logo Utilisation Policy for SQOs

SQOs may utilise the SARPcheck logo, trademark and brand in any type of communication at their discretion provided the use of the SARPcheck logo, stamp and name will never be utilised in a context that could negatively affect or damage the standing or reputation of the SARPcheck Programme or any aspect thereof.

2.6. SARPcheck Logo Utilisation Policy for auditors

SARPcheck auditors may not use the SARPcheck logo. The program considers such auditors SARPcheck auditors who have successfully undergone mandatory SARPcheck training courses, have an auditor agreement with an SQO and are actively engaged in auditing throughout the previous 24 months. Only such auditors may consider themselves as SARPcheck auditors and communicate this fact publicly without logo use, provided the mentioning of the SARPcheck name will never be utilised in a context that could negatively affect or damage the standing or reputation of the SARPcheck Programme or any aspect thereof.

2.7. SARPcheck IP

This chapter is dedicated to ensuring the SARPcheck Programme's intellectual property, comprising proprietary methodologies, documentation, and procedures, is comprehensively safeguarded. This protection is vital for maintaining the program's integrity.

a) Definitions

Intellectual Property (IP): Refers to unique, original creations of the SARPcheck Programme, including but not limited to audit methodologies, software, documentation, training materials, and any other form of knowledge or expression created for and used by the program.

Confidential Information: This encompasses all non-public, sensitive information related to SARPcheck's operational strategies, audit techniques, data analytics, software codes, and internal communications.



SARPcheck Materials: Constitutes all tangible and intangible outputs of the program, including digital files, audit checklists, process guidelines, software applications, and training videos.

b) Protection Measures

Confidentiality Agreements: All individuals involved with SARPcheck, including auditors, SQOs and third-party collaborators, must sign agreements that include confidentiality clauses to sufficiently protect SARPcheck. These legally binding documents shall clearly articulate the non-disclosure requirements, emphasising the consequences of unauthorised sharing of confidential information.

Access Controls: All program stakeholders including SQOs, operators and freelancers are required to implement strict digital and physical access controls to SARPcheck materials. Use encrypted databases for storing sensitive information, with access restricted to authorised personnel through secure authentication methods. Regularly update access rights to ensure only current team members have entry.

SARPcheck materials are exclusively for conducting and supporting compliance audits with ICAO SARPs. Any use outside this scope, such as for personal gain, unauthorised training or unauthorised consulting is strictly prohibited.

2.8. Use of Auditee logos

Auditee authorises SARPcheck Programme and SQOs to display the respective auditee name and logo on the SARPcheck registry for the duration of the registration of the respective operator.

Auditee authorises the SQO who audited an operator to display the auditee's logo and name in the context of served customers for an indefinite time following the initiation of an audit and/ or audit closure process.



3. GOVERNANCE

3.1. Governance Introduction

This section defines the SCP Governance procedures in order to maintain an effective and independent aviation audit program. The governance is initially regulated through a joint venture agreement signed by participating SQOs. It is the intent of the SQOs to establish a not for profit association to succeed the joint venture agreement for the purpose of governing the SCP.

3.2. SARPcheck Board

The SCB is composed of one representative of each of the SQOs. Its purpose is to consider and decide on matters relevant to the Key Principles and the administration of the Programme only.

The SCB shall meet regularly, at their bequest however in a manner that enables timely consideration on matters.

The matters under the control of the SCB are the following:

- 1. Matters relevant to the Key Principles;
- 2. Administrative matters of the SCP; and
- 3. SQO's Compliances with the Key Principles and furthering thereof.

Any matters before the SCB shall be decided by vote and anything that directly affects a third party stakeholder, who shall hold a significant interest in the outcome thereof, the SCB shall inform them of the decision by email.

Any matters before the SCB, which any member of the SCB has a conflict of interest in, shall be barred from any vote and the remaining members shall have an equal vote.

3.3. Complaints to the SCB

The SCB shall only consider genuine conduct complaints about any SQO. In the event that a complaint is received by the SCB and the complaint has either not been through the procedural requirements set out in this SCPM or is not a genuine SQO conduct matter, the SCB may choose



to reject the complaint, without full consideration and shall inform all relevant parties of their decision.

In the event that any complaints about SQOs from Operators remain unresolved, in accordance with the procedures set out in this SCPM, the complaint shall be referred to the SCB, who shall consider the complaint, responses and additional information and make a vote as to the recommendations to give.

Upon deciding on the recommendations as to the complaint, the SCB shall inform all relevant parties as to their recommendations in writing. The powers of the SCB in respect of the complaint are limited and are only recommendations on how to resolve it.

Upon receipt and considerations of the SCB's recommendations, the Operator and SQO may choose to accept or reject the recommendations contained therein. In the event there is no agreement on the recommendations, there shall be no further referral to the SCB.

3.4. SARPcheck Steering Group (SCSG)

The SCSG is enacted by the SCB to further the tasks under its remit and is comprised of representatives of each SQO (no limits). Regular SCSG Meetings shall be held in order to discuss updates to assigned SCSG project tasks.

3.5. SCSG Meetings

The SCSG shall meet remotely or by other telecommunication means at intervals of 2 weeks to conduct SCSG meetings.

SCSG meetings shall be composed of representatives of the SQOs. Invites to SCSG meetings shall be made by one SQO representative and in the event further invitees than the previous meeting is required by an SQO, a request for a further representative shall be made during the previous meeting and agreed by the SQOs.



In the event that little progress on tasks has been made in the preceding 2 weeks or multiple SQO representatives are unavailable when a SCSG meeting is due, that SCSG meeting can be cancelled upon agreement between the SQOs.

Notes of SCSG Meetings shall be made by one volunteer SQO representative.

3.6. SCSG Workshops

An SCSG Workshop is an in-person meeting of SQOs to dedicate time for discussion of the agreed tasks and any additional tasks assigned to the SCSG.

SCSG Workshops shall be organised regularly, whereby once every approximately 3 months is the desired interval. Best endeavours shall be made to organise the SCSG Workshops in this time interval, however upon the SQO representatives being unavailable, an SCSG Workshop may be delayed. SCSG will make best efforts to reduce joint costs associated with such meetings, and if some joint costs have to be paid, the budget shall be agreed before the SCSG Workshops is confirmed. As a general principle, travel and accommodation costs will be covered by each SQO for their respective representatives and the provision of a meeting room and meeting equipment will be covered by each one SQO on a rotating basis.

SCSG Workshops shall be composed of representatives of the SQOs. Invites to SCSG Workshops shall be made by one SQO representative and in the event further invitees than the previous Workshop is required by an SQO, a request for a further representative shall be made during the previous Workshop and agreed by the SQOs.

The venue and dates of the Workshops is to be agreed by the SQOs in SCSG Meetings.

Notes of SCSG Meetings shall be made by one volunteer SQO representative who will take in charge the local facilities (conference room and associated services).

3.7. Stakeholders Oversight Group (SOG)

This section defines the SOG meeting procedures. The SOG is a series of meetings, preferably inperson, in order for Stakeholders of the SC programme to discuss administrative issues or



improvements of the programme with the SQOs and to have input and voting rights in relation to changes to SC SARPs.

SOGs shall take place approximately every 6 months and begin approximately 6 months after the first commercial audit has taken place in the SCP.

The date and venue of the first SOG shall be decided by the SQOs.

The date and venue of the second SOG and any future SOGs shall be decided by the SOG.

The SOG should consist of representatives of the following Stakeholders:

- The SQOs;
- Any Operator who has undergone an Audit;
- Any NRCAA; and
- Any SPO.

No limits on the number of representatives per Stakeholder are set but names of representatives shall be submitted to the SOG not more than 30 days prior to the SOG. In the event an unreasonable amount of representatives are requested for one Stakeholder, the SQOs reserve the right to limit that stakeholder to a certain number of representatives.

The SQOs shall administer the SOGs, including the agenda, however, in the event that another Stakeholder wishes an agenda item to be raised, a representative of the Stakeholder shall submit the agenda item to the SCPO not less than 14 days prior to the SOG. Any agenda items raised by non SQO Stakeholders shall be first voted into the agenda, according to the procedures on SOG voting, by the Stakeholders at the beginning of the SOG for it to be discussed.

The Stakeholders shall:

- Give updates to other SOG stakeholders on the SC Programme;
- Discuss and vote on any changes to SC checklist;
- Establish any other groups in order to provide technical advisory on ICAO SARP and related SC checklist changes;



- Commission reports;
- Consider any SCPO, SOG or third party reports;
- Transact any other business as may properly come before the SOG;
- Elect a chairman, a vice chairman and a secretary for two years in order to ensure a timely and effective meeting.

The SOG shall not consider any of the matters for which the SCB are responsible.

3.8. SOG Voting

For any of the items properly before the SOG, for any changes to be enacted to the SCP the SOG stakeholders shall have a vote.

Each Stakeholder shall have one equal vote each. For the avoidance of doubt one Stakeholder shall have one vote and not per each individual representatives of the Stakeholder.

For any vote to be passed, at least 51% of the total number of votes shall be in favour.

No vote, or vote on a reasonably similar item can be properly considered at more than 2 (two) SOG meetings in a row.

3.9. Deviations from the SCPM

No request for deviation shall be sought by an SQO which is made for any of the following circumstances ("Invalid Deviation"):

- 1. A deviation which conflicts with the Key Principles; or
- 2. A deviation which is made for commercial reasons, or which the other SQOs believe is for commercial reasons.

In the event a deviation from the SCPM is required by one SQO, a deviation request shall be made to the other SQOs, detailing:

- Which procedure or rule is required to be deviated from;
- The reason why a deviation is required;



- For how long the deviation is sought;
- An alternative mean of compliance if any; and
- Any other pertinent information, such as a time scale when a decision is required.

The other SQOs shall then consider the deviation. The other SQO may meet to discuss the deviation request and decide the following:

- 1. Whether the circumstances constitute an Invalid Deviation;
- 2. Whether the reasons are detailed enough to decide if there is no Invalid Deviation;
- 3. Whether any potential risk to the SCP would be created, which is deemed to be too high given the circumstances.

In the event that the other SQOs deem there is a potential risk to the SCP that would be too high given the circumstances, the SQOs shall conduct a risk assessment according to industry standards.

In the event that the SQOs deem the reasons are not detailed enough to decide if there is an Invalid Deviation, then they shall ask the requesting SQO to re-submit the deviation request.

In the event that the SQOs decide:

- 1. There is a Invalid Deviation; or
- 2. That following any risk assessment conducted, there were no reasonable control measures which mitigated the risk enough and that by allowing the deviation a potential risk existed to the SCP that was deemed too high;

The request shall be refused. In the event that it was not decided either of the above, the request shall be approved.

All decisions made must be by majority, otherwise the request shall be refused.

All approved decisions shall be recorded and referenced in a deviation file for all SQOs.

All approved deviations shall be presented to SOG.



4. DOCUMENTATION

4.1. Introduction to Documentation

Beside the SARPcheck Programme Manual, the documentation system of the SARPcheck Programme is kept in a very lean way, only a few essential documents:

- The SARPcheck Checklist
- Other documentation

4.2. The Checklist

The checklist is a list of standards and some recommended practices that should be checked to ensure a proper oversight of an Operator against ICAO standards. Built by airline experienced auditors for airline operators, the checklist includes various other sheets:

- 1. A notice describing the different columns of the checklist:
 - Column 1 "Section": Specifies the operational scope/ discipline for which the assigned SARPcheck is qualified and responsible to audit
 - Column 2 "Sub-section": Within the section defines a high-level concept within which the individual checklist question is located and relevant.
 - Column 3 "SARP Focus of Observation/Examination": States the core of the individual SARPcheck checklist question.
 - Column 4 "ICAO Annex #": States the ICAO Annex to which the individual SARPcheck checklist question relates
 - Column 5 "ICAO Annex reference #": States the ICAO SARP or the ICAO SARP sub-item to which the individual SARPcheck checklist question relates
 - Column 6 "SARP details": Intends to sum-up the core intent/ concept/ requirement of the SARP to which the individual SARPcheck checklist question relates.



- Column 7 "Auditor Load Unit": Allows the possibility to group the SARPs in chapters to easily split the checklist in different ways depending on the team of auditors.
- Column 8 "Process": The SARPcheck Programme has bundled certain questions into related interlinked processes in order to enable auditors to apply a holistic process view when assessing an operator.
- Column 9 "Risk based": The tick-box for every question in the SARPcheck checklist indicates whether the specific question has been selected for a specific in-depth assessment based on risk-based auditing criteria.
- Column 10 "Auditor Assessment": Reflects the auditors compliance assessment of each question in the SARPcheck checklist
- Column 11 "Auditor Comment": Space for auditor comments subject to the related processes of this manual, where, for instance, the auditor will write the finding or observation.
- 2. A scope description excluding some types of operations, state or aircraft related characteristics and ICAO references.
- 3. A hidden multichoice database for the purpose of multichoice columns in the checklist.

4.3. Checklist Revision

The checklist is revised periodically. For this purpose, the SOG will form a taskforce of industry experts. Among those experts, a lead will be assigned the task to ensure the finalisation of the revision within the expected timeframe. The experts shall be experienced operational auditors for more than 10 years in the aviation industry. The experts shall be skilled for the purpose of drafting and the taskforce minded work.

This exercise shall be done every year in July/August to deliver the new revision by 1st of September. One month before that (before 1st of June) and to ensure capture of feedback from all SARPcheck auditors and relevant Operators, the SQOs will invite all their auditors and the Auditees on the Registry to answer a Checklist Feedback Questionnaire. This questionnaire will serve as input for the revision.



Once the taskforce has completed their work, periodically revising the checklists, they shall be circulated to the SOG members for review and comment. Following any re-drafts, as a result of the SOG comments, the checklist shall be again sent to the SOG who shall vote to accept the checklist as a new revision and there shall be no further re-drafting until the next calendar year re-drafting.

4.4. Other documentation

• Checklist Feedback Questionnaires: SQOs will develop questionnaires to ensure feedback on the use of the checklist during the audits. These feedback questionnaires shall be sent to the auditors and to the operators having received a SARPcheck audit. It should help to steer the work of the taskforce.

4.5. Document control & storage

Documentation control and storage are ensured in a secure Google Drive space with limited access. The Google Drive space shall be controlled by delegated members of the SOG. Official copies of the documentation shall be published on the SARPcheck Website.



5. THE REGISTRY

5.1. Registry Introduction

The SARPcheck Registry is the official record that shows whether an Operator has undergone a SARPcheck audit (Phase I), and also whether they have subsequently had the closure of all non-Compliances verified by a SQO (Phase II).

The SARPcheck registry will be public-facing and contain the following details about each Operator on the SARPcheck registry:

- Operator Name;
- Operator ICAO Code;
- HQ Country;
- Phase I entry;
- Phase II entry (if applicable);
- SARPcheck Registration Expiry Date.

5.2. SARPcheck Phase I

SARPcheck Phase I is when an eligible Operator has undergone a SARPcheck audit (as specified in Section 10) and is finalised by the audit Closing Meeting.

5.3. SARPcheck Phase II

SARPcheck Phase II signifies that all non-Compliances raised by a SQO during a Phase I SARPcheck audit have been closed, and the closure of each has been verified by a current, accredited SQO (as specified in Section 11).

5.4. Registry Hosting

The official SARPcheck Registry will be hosted on the central SARPcheck Website.



Data governance will adhere to GDPR rules and the technology solution will be selected based on whether they incorporate GDPR to industry best practices. No SQO will separately hold SARPcheck Registry data individually.

The technology solution selected to host the SARPcheck Registry will be assessed for security features to industry best-practices to prevent any unauthorised changes to the Registry.

5.5. Responsibility to update the Registry

The SQO conducting the SCA has the responsibility to update the SARPcheck Register of an airline completing SARPcheck Phase I, along with email evidence to inform the other SQO's that they made the Registry update.

Once the Closing Meeting has concluded, the Operator will be visible on the SARPcheck Register within three business days at the location of the auditing SQO as having completed SARPcheck Phase I.

The SQO that is verifying closure of the non-Compliances has the responsibility to update the SARPcheck Register of an Operator completing SARPcheck Phase II, along with email evidence to inform the other SQO's that they made the Registry update.

Once the final non-compliance has been verified and the audit declared closed, the Operator will be shown on the SARPcheck Register within 5 working days as having completed SARPcheck Phase II.

SQO who conducted Phase I shall also have the responsibility to remove the operator from the Registry, within 7 days after the operator's expiry date, if they have not been informed by another SQO they have not undergone a Phase I before the expiry date. In any other situation where the operator shall be removed from the Registry, other than because of the expiry date, this shall also be the responsibility of the SQO who conducted Phase I of the operator.



5.6. Period on the Registry and falling off the Registry

Each Operator entered onto the registry after completing Phase I SARPcheck Audit will be eligible to be included on the Registry for a maximum period of 24 months.

The period on the Registry (24 months) begins on the date of the closing meeting.

An Operator undergoing Phase II shall do so at any time within the 24 month Registry period. However, completion of Phase II will not affect the Registry expiry date. This will always be dependent on completion of Phase I.

For an Operator wishing to renew their SARPcheck Registry entry, they can elect to undergo a renewal audit no earlier than 150 days prior to the SARPcheck Registration expiry date.

If so registered, in order to maintain an Operator's Phase II registration, any non-Compliances identified by the audit must be verified as closed before the expiry date. In this case, an Operator not having their non-Compliances verified as closed will have their Phase II Registry entry removed.

An Operator will only be removed from having a Phase I SARPcheck Registry entry in the event of:

- Failure to complete a renewal audit in the prescribed audit window; or
- Failure to comply with the payment terms as set out in the individual SARPcheck Audit Agreement for Phase I.

Reinstatement of a Phase I entry requires:

- A SARPcheck Audit to be completed; or
- rectification of the payment issues between the Operator and the SQO.

An Operator will only be removed from having a Phase II SARPcheck Registry entry in the event of:

• Failure to complete a renewal audit **and** closure of all non-Compliances prior to the Registration expiry date.



• Failure to comply with the payment terms as set out in the individual SARPcheck Phase II Agreement for Phase II.

Reinstatement of a Phase II entry requires the non-Compliances raised during the most recent audit to be verified as closed by the verifying SQO and the payment issues solved (If applicable).

6. THE OPERATOR

6.1. Operator Introduction

In the SARPcheck Programme, the role of the operator is central to the successful implementation and ongoing management of compliance with the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs). Operators are ultimately responsible for initiating, preparing for, and actively participating in the SARPcheck audits, ensuring ongoing compliance, and, at their discretion , for closing non-compliances and addressing risks within a formal SARPcheck Programme follow-up process.

6.2. Eligibility to participate in the SARPcheck Programme

To be eligible for the SARPcheck Programme, operators must either hold or have officially applied for an Air Operator Certificate (AOC). The operator must demonstrate a commitment to maintaining high safety standards and be willing to undergo the comprehensive audit by an SQO.

The program has the flexibly to include different commercial air operator types, sizes and categories, in accordance with the following scope:

- Business Aviation Operators
- ACMI Operators
- Ad-Hoc/- and Charter Operators
- Operators of scheduled flights



The following table lists the ICAO Annex references that are Out-of-Scope, this does not preclude a potential Auditee from undertaking a SARPCheck Audit, however certain aspects of their operation may not be audited if it includes any of the following aspects, however in some situations, instead the SARPCheck audit will be of a higher-level/standard to those categories listed below:

Scope Keywords	Consideration on limiting factors	ICAO Referenc e	
Single Pilot Operations	Not incorporated at this stage	A6part1: 6.23, 4.9 ;	
Single Engine Aeroplanes	Not incorporated at this stage	A6 Ch.5.1.2, 5.4, App. 3	
MRO	Criteria to get an MRO approval	A8 Ch.6	
Domestic commercial operations	Recommendation to apply International Standards to domestic commercial operations (e.g. Security, Dangerous Goods)	A6 Ch.13, Ch.14	
Aircraft weight consideration	Weight Only Aircraft with a maximum take off mass over 5700		
State related	ICAO SARPs related to Contracting States only are not included in the Checklist		

Table 2: ICAO Annex categories out of scope of SCA

6.3. Operators without a valid AOC

Operators without a valid AOC are eligible for undergoing a SARPcheck Audit as long as they have officially applied for obtaining an AOC at their competent NRCAA. These operators must demonstrate a clear plan to obtain a valid AOC and meet the SARPcheck Criteria. They are



encouraged to engage with SQOs to understand the requirements and prepare for eventual participation in the audit program. Such designated air operators remain fully responsible to obtain their AOC. The SARPcheck Programme neither constitutes and/ or demonstrates compliance with national civil aviation regulations nor guarantees to meet the interpretation of aviation requirements of the relevant competent authority.

6.4. Initiating an audit

The Operator must sign a SARPcheck Programme Audit Agreement with an accredited SQO as listed on the official SARPcheck Website.

Once the agreement is signed, the Operator must agree with the SQO on:

- Audit dates
- Audit on-site locations (Headquarter, Maintenance facilities, Operational Offices).
- feasibility of the audit, including the on-site phase
- Air transport and on-site logistics.

6.5. Operator's responsibilities & disclosures prior to an audit

Prior to a SARPcheck Audit, the Operator must ensure:

- To provide suitable facilities and reliable infrastructure to accommodate a SARPcheck Audit (e.g. offices with internet access (wi-fii);
- To arrange for Visa and/ or invitation letters for the Auditors (if required)
- To grant and arrange Auditor's access to all relevant facilities and service providers, including security restricted areas (Security Pass, Airport pass, ramp access pass)
- Organise SARPcheck Auditor's access to all relevant operational documents and records
- To organise a safe and secure transport from and to the hotel to the audit location and airport;
- Provide to SQO a list of all relevant audit documentation, including revision/ issue number and effective date and;



- Provide to SQO all relevant documentation for the audit and/ or provide the SQO and its Auditors access to the Operator's documentation library, - if possible - at least 3 weeks prior to the opening meeting of the SARPcheck audit.
- Provide to SQO the current Air Operator Certificate (AOC), Operations Specifications (OPSspecs) or the application submitted to the relevant competent authority with an updated project plan of the current status of the AOC application process, a document cross-reference list linking all applicable ICAO SARPs with the corresponding company documentation and filled-out Operational Profile Sheet.
- Assign responsible managers and subject matter experts to facilitate the audit as Auditees for the Operator for all applicable scopes to ensure the feasibility of the audit.
- As English is the official SARPcheck Audit language, provide translators for the interview partners (if necessary) to limit the risk of language barriers.
- Provide an English translated version of a Manual if the original and/ or approved manual is in another language than English.
- Complete the pre-audit questionnaire

Prior to a SARPcheck Audit, it is highly recommended that the Operator should:

- Familiarise all auditees with ISO 19011:2018 Auditing principles or provide an Internal Auditor course and guidelines for ensuring a good understanding of all auditees about audit objectives and a smooth audit.
- Conduct internal audits to demonstrate compliance against all relevant regulatory requirements;
- Demonstrate that the Operator's management system manages to achieve compliance whenever an internal non-compliance has been identified.
- Demonstrate that threats and hazards have been identified, their risks assessed and accepted at an acceptable level as low as reasonably practicable.
- Conduct an internal gap analysis against SARPcheck Audit Criteria and close (if possible) all non-compliances (Findings) identified prior to the start of the SARPcheck audit.



6.6. Operator's responsibilities during the registration period

During the 24 month period between two SARPcheck audits, but not before the calendar year anniversary after the closing meeting, the SQO who performed the first of the two audits shall invite the Operator to answer a questionnaire about significant changes for the Operator. The Operator shall report the significant changes as per the questionnaire that shall cover:

- Cessation of operations;
- Changes within the Air Operator Certificate (AOC) and/ or Operations Specifications (OPSSpecs), such as (but not limited to):
 - Suspensions;
 - o revocation; or
 - o Restrictions; or
 - Other limitations.
- changes to fleets and/ or operations within the SARPcheck scope as listed below (but not limited to):
 - the introduction of a new aircraft type not being previously operated by the Operator;
 - o new approvals within the OPSSpecs (e.g. DG, Low Visibility, PBN, ...);
- measures imposed by a regulatory authority, such as;
- refused authorisations or approvals by the relevant competent civil aviation authority.
- Serious Safety/ Security related Incidents, Occurrences and/ or Accidents.
- Any significant change to the Operator's management system as defined by the national civil aviation regulations, including the operating structure of the organisation;
- any takeover, merger or consolidation of the Operator's organisation.
- Serious financial difficulties (e.g. such as major and repeated deferrals of financial obligations to industry stakeholders, lessors, service providers and/ or (but not limited to) airport authorities and air navigation charges).
- applications for protection from creditors or any insolvency/ bankruptcy proceedings.



6.7. Operator's responsibilities upon falling off the Registry

If the Operator has been removed from the SARPcheck registry, the Operator must without undue delay:

- remove all SARPcheck related logos and previous releases from its website;
- Shall not imply through action and/ or omissions to industry stakeholders, competent authorities and/ or other Operators that they are a SARPcheck certified Operator;
- Communicate clearly in written form to SQO if the desire to achieve SARPcheck compliance and being reinstated to the SARPcheck Registry.

6.8.

6.9. Audit Agreement

The SARPcheck Audit Agreement between the operator and the SQO outlines the scope, methodology, and schedule of the audit. It also details the responsibilities of both parties and the expectations regarding cooperation and access to information and facilities during the audit.

6.10. Corrective Action Agreement

Following an audit, if non-compliances are identified or recommendations are issued, the operator has the free choice as whether to enter into a Phase II Agreement with an SQO or to close the non-Compliances and/ or recommendations on its own or with other external help or not to close anything. This agreement outlines the specific actions the operator will take to address the non-Compliances, along with timelines and methods for verifying the effectiveness of these actions.

6.11. Payment of Fees

Operators are responsible for paying the fees associated with the SARPcheck audit and within the timeframes of both the SARPcheck Audit Agreement and the Phase II Agreement. These fees



cover the costs of the audit process, including the preparation, execution, and follow-up activities conducted by the SQO.



7. THE SQO

7.1. Introduction to the SQO

The SQOs are the companies authorised to undertake the SQOs, on behalf of the SCP joint venture. This section 8 contains the procedures pertaining to the SQOs conduct of the audits and any other administrative procedures in respect of the SCP.

7.2. General Requirements

The following is a list of the general requirements that the SQO's shall maintain or agree to:

- The SQO shall maintain an authority, given by the SCB, to conduct audits under the SCP.
- The SQO shall hold and maintain any insurance requirements as mandated by the SCB.
- The SQO shall comply with the Key Principles, as set out in this SCPM.
- The SQO shall comply with the procedures set out in this SCPM and any other obligations that they are legally bound to.
- The SQO agrees to conduct their business within the SCP in a manner that does not bring the SCP into disrepute.

7.3. Conflicts of Interest & Rotation

An SQO shall not conduct Phase I or Phase Ilin any of the following circumstances:

- 1. Where the SQO has provided any Consultancy Services to the Operator in relation to the SCP, including consultancy in relation to Phase II of the SCP, in the past 2 (two) years. For the avoidance of doubt, no GAP Analysis can be undertaken by an SQO of the SCP and also conduct the audit, unless that GAP Analysis does not contain any consultancy in relation to corrective actions, the corrective action process or closure of findings.
- 2. Where the SQO has provided Consultancy Services in the past 2 (two) years to the Operator, in relation to any subject matter that is the subject of any of the checklist items of the SCP.
- 3. Where the SQO conducted the operator's last SCA.



- 4. Where the SQO is owned fully or partially by a company, which also fully or partially owns the operatoror there is some other ownership nexus that could infer that the SQO could have some interest in the outcome of the audit.
- 5. SQO shall not conduct Phase II for an operator, if they have conducted the most recent Phase I audit, except where the other accredited SQOs cannot conduct it through their own conflict of interest restrictions (above), or because the other accredited SQOs have declined to conduct the Phase II for an operator.

Any matters of conflict of interest shall be referred to the SCB to make a decision on.

In the event of an audit that has already been conducted and the SCB declares a conflict of interest in relation to the SQO, the audit shall be declared null & void and the Operator shall be removed from the Registry until such time that the audit can be re-conducted by another SQO.

7.4. Oversight from the SARPcheck Board

The SQO shall conduct their business and their audits within the SCP autonomously, within the bounds of the procedures laid down in this SCPM and any other document or agreement, properly executed, that contains any obligations on the SQO. However, in relation to any matter that has been set-forth in this SCPM that is under the responsibility of the SCB, shall not be under the control of the SQO. This includes situations where there is some disagreement on a procedural matter between one or more of the SQOs or a third party, in which case the SCB shall have the power to interpret and vote on that disagreement. This power does also extend to conduct complaints of third parties regarding one of the SQOs does not extend to disagreements about any findings or interpretations of checklist items except where a genuine conduct complaint about the SQO exists.

However, SQO shall have the means to ensure a reliable management of its data and a swift communication with its auditors and the Operator it has been contracted with. SQO shall update its documentation and implement the actions according to the decisions taken by SCB.



7.5. Quality Assurance

The SQO shall maintain a Quality Assurance program that is consummate to the size and scale of its operation, however, it shall, as a minimum:

- 1. Have a person within their operation who is designated Quality Manager, with suitable experience, in order to oversee the SQO's Quality Assurance program.
- Effective the first month following the first year after the first commercially SARPcheck audit conducted, maintain internal audit procedures in order to conform to the procedures of the SCP and perform those audits at intervals suitable to the size and scale of the SQO.
- 3. In accordance with the aforementioned timeline, in (2) above, maintain and implement procedures in regards to identification of non-Compliances (in relation to the SCP), corrective actions and implementation of corrective actions.

7.6. Training requirements

The SQO shall maintain a training programme for its Quality Manager. This requirement is separate to the SQO's auditor training requirements.

7.7. Auditor management & administration

The SQO shall introduce procedures for the management and administration of its auditor cadre. This shall include:

- A MAL containing the following:
 - o Name;
 - Contact details;
 - Disciplines qualified;
 - Lead Auditor status;
 - SCAs completed;
 - Auditor qualification information;
 - Initial training information;



- Recurrent training information;
- Auditor evaluation information;
- A process within the MAL that indicates if an auditor has lapsed on any requirement (for example an indication that the auditor has not completed the recurrent training or auditor evaluation within the timescales indicated within this SCPM).
- A library of auditor qualification and operational (passports, photos, CVs etc) documents.
- New auditor procedures including interview, auditor agreements, professional references, qualification check, initial training and, if separate to initial training, familiarisation training.
- Official communication with auditors (e.g. notice/bulletins to auditors/ emails when considered binding under existing contractual agreements with the auditors) on both SC updates/information and SQO information.
- Procedures on how the auditor can/shall communicate with Operators.

SQO shall introduce procedures on Auditor's records management including:

- How data is stored;
- The purpose it is processed;
- How long the data is processed/stored;
- How it is disposed of/deleted.

SQO shall have a cloud server accessible to its Auditors ("Auditors Cloud Server") in order for them to receive documents and information about an audit and for them and, if required, to upload any other documentation.

7.8. SQO's responsibilities prior to an audit:

In the event an SQO agrees to do a SCA with an Operator, the below outlines the steps it shall take prior to an audit:

• Execute and check the Operator's execution of a SCA Agreement;



- Ensure receipt of payment prior to an audit and in line with the time scales of the SCA Agreement;
- Agree on dates and locations for the audit and associated activities, considering relevant outsourced functions and their locations and bases, as applicable
- Specify audit location(s), including remote auditing, if applicable;
- Check Auditor visa requirements and consulate processing times;
- Specify audit scope and objectives;
- Identify the SCPM edition to be used during the audit;
- Check the status of the SARPcheck registration of the operator;
- Identify SQO and auditor conflicts of interest;
- Specify roles and responsibilities of the audit team;
- Identify trainees and/or observers that may accompany the audit team;
- Specify resource and location requirements;
- Specify logistical requirements and arrangements;
- Identify cultural issues, cultural environment(s) and language(s) spoken;
- Identify any need for translators or interpreters (language issues);
- Identify Operations with the potential for being excluded from the registration of the operator;
- Identification of sections that are "out of scope";
- Capture the previous audit history of the organisation to be audited, if known;
- Send and ensure completion of a pre-audit questionnaire at least 45 days prior to the planned Opening Meeting date (except where the audit has been planned with less notice than 45 days) to the Operator which shall contain at least the following information:
 - Request for local travel assistance
 - Observational Assessment planning information
 - Visa Letter of Invitation requests (if applicable)
 - Request for local airside pass information
 - Operator's Legal Name
 - o Trading As
 - o Official Address
 - o Main Operating Bases



- HQ / Main office location
- Locations of other audit scopes and MOs
- o Office hours
- Dress code preferred for auditors (opening & closing meeting / auditing)
- Primary Contact Name
- o Primary Contact Designation
- o Primary Contact Phone Number
- Primary Contact Email
- o Secondary Contact Name
- Secondary Contact Designation
- o Secondary Contact Phone Number
- Secondary Contact Email
- Is the operator □ An Independent Entity □ Part of an airline group
- o If the operator is a member of an airline alliance, please name it.
- List prominent codeshare partners
- Type of operation
- Outsourced operational functions
- Current fleet in SCA scope
- Communication language
- Auditor logistics (i.e. ground transport, hotel and flight info)
- Assign an audit team with the following requirements:
 - An audit team whose discipline qualifications cover all of the disciplines of a SCA, all qualified in accordance with this SCPM;
 - A Lead Auditor as qualified in accordance with the Lead Auditor pre- requisites and training section of this SCPM;
 - o Available to travel in time to attend the Opening Meeting; and
 - Do not have any conflicts of interest with the Operator, as defined in this SCPM.
- Upon receipt of the pre-audit questionnaire, provide to the Operator, in good time, any information/documentation to the Operator as is required in order to arrange the visas, airside passes, local travel etc.



- Request for Pre-Audit Documentation to be received from the Operator, not before 14 days prior to the planned Opening Meeting date.
- Arrange a remote Pre-Audit Meeting between the Lead Auditor and the Operator to occur at least 30 days prior to the Opening Meeting.
- Send to the Operator at least 14 days prior to the planned Opening Meeting date, a planning information sheet containing the following:
 - Audit team details including identification and contact information of the Lead Auditor;
 - Audit team accommodation information;
 - Local travel information (confirming the Operator's arrangements made following the information given in the Pre-Audit Questionnaire);
 - Information as to exclusions from the Audit Checklist (if applicable);
 - o Observational Assessment information;
 - Opening Meeting information;
 - Visa information;
 - Airside pass information;
- Provide the Auditor with the following information:
 - Operator Information;
 - Scope of the audit and exclusions;
 - Visa information;
 - Observational Assessment information;
 - Airside pass information;
 - o Flights;
 - Accommodation;
 - Local travel arrangements;
 - Opening Meeting planned date and start time;
 - Operator's facilities addresses.
- Populate the Auditor's Cloud Server with the following as soon as they are available:
 - Audit Information Form;
 - Any documents in annex to Audit information Form (e.g. flight tickets);
 - Pre-Audit Documentation.



SQO should book audit team travel and accommodation at least 8 weeks prior to the planned Opening Meeting date, unless payment for the SCA has not yet been received or unless it has been agreed that it is the auditees responsibility to book travel and accommodation.

Where the SCA has been planned (first contact between the Operator and the SQO) at short notice and the timelines mentioned in the above section are not achievable, the milestones should occur as soon as operationally possible for the SQO and Operator. The onus shall be on the Operator to plan the SCA in good time and in the event that confirmation of the audit from the Operator occurs at a time which gives little notice for the SQO to achieve the milestones above and for the Operator to prepare for the SCA, the SQO should not be held responsible for any failings in both organisation of the SCA or in the actual conduct thereof.

For the sake of a standardised application of the programme the SARPcheck Preparation File (SCPF) has been created as a possible future addition to the SCPM as an annex and it is mandatory for SQOs to use this file in the planning and preparation phases of SCAs. Green columns are to be filled out by the SQOs and grey columns are to be filled out by the auditee. All audit planning and preparation information to be exchanged between SQO and the Operator, including the elements listed in this chapter, shall be centrally embedded in the SCPF.

The SQO shall ensure that it pre-populates an SCPF for every upcoming SCA with all initially available information and sends it to the auditee for further processing as early as necessary for keeping all timelines required by the SCPM and for ensuring a smooth initiation of the SCA. In multiple steps, the SQO and the auditee will then send this document back and forth and update it, whereby it is the SQOs responsibility to keep track of the latest version and its current editor.

7.9. SQO's responsibilities following an audit

SQO shall ensure the following post-audit requirements are done in a timely manner or within the time limits set out in this SCPM:

- Checking all fees due and owing from the Operator have been paid;
- Updating of the Registry in accordance with the procedures contained in this SCPM;
- Notifying the Operator of their entry on the Registry;



- Quality control of the Audit Report in accordance with the procedures contained in this SCPM;
- Sending any SCA product to the Operator;
- If the Operator chooses not to participate in Phase II activities, to notify the Operator of the closure of the SCA;
- If the Operator has participated in the Phase II activities and that Phase I has been closed in accordance with the procedures contained in this SCPM, to update the Registry in accordance with the procedures contained in this SCPM and inform the Operator of the closure of the entire SCA; and
- Keeping records of the SCA and checking any records are in accordance with the procedures contained in this SCPM.

7.10. Post-audit quality control

SQO shall have Quality Control procedures that ensure the Audit Report and any other data that is provided to the Operator ("SCA Product"), following an audit, is checked for errors.

At a minimum, SQO shall ensure the following:

- SQO shall have a SCA Product control check.
- SCA Product shall be checked for:
 - Findings raised correctly and not in error;
 - Inaccurate Compliances interpretation;
 - All questions answered and notes provided that are sufficiently detailed;
 - Risk based elements are correctly assessed;
 - Originality of writing, insofar that the Auditors have not copied and pasted from another audit;
 - Executive summaries are original, relevant to the subject matter and are without error;
 - Spelling and grammar; and
 - General error checking.



SQO shall have a performance review process of its auditor to evaluate their operational, technical and managerial skills. Auditors that would not meet the required standards shall be informed, trained or discharged of future SCA.

In the event that errors in the SCA Product are detected following delivery of the SCA Product to the Operator, discovered either by the Operator or the SQO, the SQO shall have a procedure by which to revise the SCA Product in order to correct the errors, issue the revised SCA Product and distribute the revised SCA Product.

7.11. Notifications

All official notifications by an SQO to either of the following parties shall be done in writing by email or letter:

- Operators;
- Other SQOs;
- SCB; or
- SOG members.

Any notifications made that are relevant to the SCP's administration shall be copied to the SCB.

7.12. Complaints

Any official complaint by an Operator, shall first be notified to the SQO in writing in an attempt to resolve that complaint.

The SQO shall submit an initial response to any Formal Complaints from Operators within 30 days. A Formal Complaint can be identified by making explicit reference to this SCPM chapter. Upon receipt of said response the Operator should send a follow up letter informing them of their acceptance, refusal or any follow up communication to the SQO and should give the SQO reasonable time and opportunity to respond to that follow-up communication, unless it is an outright refusal to the SQO's original response.



In the event that the Operator is not satisfied with the SQO's response to a Formal Complaint, the Operator shall send their complaint, the SQO's response and any follow up communication to the SCB. The SCB shall then consider any response and give their recommendations in accordance with the provisions set out in this SCPM.



© 2024 SARP Limited - Edition 1 Rev.01 (27 March 2024) Document becomes uncontrolled once distributed

Page 52 of 144

8. THE AUDITOR

8.1. Introduction to the Auditor

The SARPcheck auditor shall understand the purpose of the SARPcheck Programme and for this they shall acknowledge, get acquainted and be able to perform the peer to peer review of safety standards on the basis of ICAO references. He or she shall be ethically fit for duty and shall value:

- Priority on factual analysis
- Openness to understand the operational context and the management system of the Operator
- Ability to make, on the basis of his or her independent assessment, a determination that engage his or her responsibility

8.2. Requirements for new Auditors

The following table sums up the requirements to qualify as a new Auditor in the SARPcheck Programme:



Table 3: Auditor Qualification Requirements



	Basic requirement	Means of compliance
Knowledge	Education Aviation Industry ICAO Annexes	<u>- Bachelor or higher Diploma</u> <u>- CV</u> <u>- Test</u>
	Quality management (5 pts)	3 points: Job positions (Quality manager/expert and/or Production/Project manager) 2 points: Job position with Quality related responsibilities in an ISO certified entity
	Safety management (5 pts)	4 points: SMS training certificate 1 point: Job position with Safety related responsibilities in a certified entity running an SMS



		1	
Experience	Airline/ MRO/ ground handling operational experience	<u>4 years</u>	
	Discipline related airline/ MRO/ ground handling operational experience	2 years in the related discipline	
	Airline/ MRO/ ground handling managerial experience (4 pts)	1 to 4 points as per the number of years of managerial experience.	
		Commercial Pilot licence, flying experience 1000 hours	
		Licensed maintenance staff or relevant maintenance job position such as CAMO manager, engineering, aircraft modification project management (3 years at least)	
	For flight control related SARPs	1 point per year of expert experience on a relevant job positions (max 3)	
	For maintenance SARPs	1 point per year as active internal auditor with at least 2 audits and 3 days of auditing period per year	
	Discipline specific expertise (3 pts)	demonstrated with audit reports (max 3).	
	Auditing experience (3 pts)		
Skills	Hard skills: documentation check, process audit, risk evaluation	Confirmation through evaluation (on- job training)	
	Soft skills: listening, time management, decision making (10 pts)	1 to 5 points evaluation according to selection interview + 1 to 5 points evaluation according to one audit on- job training	



Training	Auditor Training Course (eg, ISO9001:2015 & ISO 19001:2018 or Aviation Auditor Training Course)	<u>Certificates</u>
	Discipline specific training (10 pts)	1 to 10 points according to the quality and number of training course certificates.

Known auditors to the SQOs will be selected for the initial auditor pool (first year of operations) and be automatically eligible as a SARPcheck Auditor, if added onto the SQO's SARPcheck MAL within the first year of operations.

8.3. Auditor Qualification Matrix Methodology

Each of the 4 areas of qualification above will be assigned a maximum 10 points per area (grand total of 40 points).

Items with underlined text above are non-negotiable and not included in the points assignment.

Each prospective auditor should score a minimum of 35 out of the 40 points from a combination of the different areas but with at least 2 points from each area, and also meet the 'non-negotiable' items.

It is the SQOs duty and responsibility to match candidates against the scoring system stated in this chapter and to record such assessments accordingly.

8.4. Lead Auditor requirements

Table 4: Lead Auditor Requirements



Experience	Skills	Knowledge	Training
At least 5 SARPcheck audits	Leadership skills checked during one audit in the position of lead under supervision by another qualified Lead Auditor	, 0	Lead Auditor training course, successfully performed.

Known Lead auditors of the SQOs will be selected for the initial auditor pool (first year of operations) and be automatically eligible as a SARPcheck Lead Auditor, if added onto the SQO's SARPcheck MAL within the first year of operation.



8.5. Auditor recurrency requirements

Auditors shall attend a recurrent training course and successfully pass the corresponding test based on the following topics:

Minimum requirements per training topic	Auditors with less than 5 years experience	Auditors with 5 years experience and two or more audits per year	
Program procedures	Once per year	Once every 2 years	
Standard updates	Once per year	Once every 2 years	
Continuous improvement	Once per year	Once every 2 years	

Table 5: Auditor recurrency requirements

Auditors shall maintain their qualification by demonstrating their recurrent auditing experience though audit report records. Auditing experience shall include at least four audits every two calendar years. Scope of the audits shall be covering the disciplines of the auditor.

8.6. Lead Auditor recurrency requirements

Lead auditors shall perform at least one audit, acting as Lead Auditor, every 2 years.

8.7. Auditor evaluation programme

8.7.1. KPIs (Key Performance Indicators)

To be finalised during the second year of operation of the audit programme.

8.7.2. Observation programme

Target is to have 5% of the audits observed by a recognised "Programme Evaluator".

This target shall be achieved over a period of 2 years.



Programme Evaluator shall be endorsed by the SOG – Stakeholders Oversight Group. For this purpose, the SQO to present a candidate shall make a short presentation of the concerned person.

The SQOs shall create and keep updated an observation checklist that will be the reference for all its Programme Evaluators. This checklist shall address the way the audit is performed, how well standards are evaluated, how relevant is the programme documentation and how much the desired outcome defined in the commercial documentation is reached.

8.8. Conflicts of Interest & Rotation

An Auditor shall not perform two consecutive audits of the same Operator seeking to have his registration renewed for the SARPcheck Programme.

An Auditor having worked for or being contracted by an Operator shall not audit during two years the said Operator after the end of his work (or service) contract.

An Auditor having a member of his family (including children (biological, adopted, foster or stepchild), parents and legal guardians (or spouse's parents), siblings, grandchildren or grandparents (or spouse's grandparents) being contracted by an Operator shall not audit the said Operator.



9. PHASE I - THE AUDIT

9.1. Introduction to Phase I - the Audit

The Phase I Audit stage represents the processes by which an Operator undergoes a SARPcheck Audit resulting in the qualification of the Operator to appear on the official SARPcheck Registry.

9.2. Audit Agreement

In order to initiate an SQO to plan and conduct Phase I audit, the SQO and Operator shall execute the SARPcheck Audit Agreement.

9.3. Operator Questionnaire

The Pre-Audit Questionnaire (see <u>Chapter 7.8</u>) will be sent to the Operator by SQO and should be returned completed no later than 2 weeks prior to the audit.

9.4. Pre-audit briefing

The SQO Planning process shall include that prior to the on-site commencement of an Audit, the Lead Auditor or designated central staff member shall conduct a pre-briefing with the Operator's nominated representative. This briefing may be conducted online or in-person.

The content of the Operator pre-briefing shall include but not be confined to confirmation of:

- The Audit timetable and logistics including any last-minute changes;
- Required onsite facilities and resources;
- Confirmation on scope and out-of-scope functions of the operator;
- Security pass requirements;
- Flight-deck entry requirements;
- The master list of operator manuals that will be referenced during the Audit;
- Availability of internally completed and cross-referenced Checklists;
- Travel Visas;



- Availability, if required, of translators; and
- Any other queries.

9.5. Exemptions

During the pre-audit process, the SQO shall ascertain whether there is any requirement to exempt any aircraft (or fleet, or SARP) from the audit.

Valid reasons for exemptions, include, but are not limited to:

- Individual Aircraft long-term maintenance where is will not be possible to bring an aircraft into compliance during the audit process;
- Aircraft fleet not applicable to the scope of the audit. Eg. Rotary Wing;
- Equipment not available within a specific aircraft type which is out of the control of the Operator;

If any such exemptions are identified, then this shall be clearly annotated in the Executive Summary section of the Audit Report.

An exemption can also occur at the SARP level. Ie. a specific SARP can be identified as exempted for a valid reason that is out of the control of the Operator. Again, this will be specifically highlighted in the Executive Summary of the Audit Report and mentioned the SARP as N/A in the checklist with a comment clarifying the reason and the associated conditions.

It may not always be possible to identify the exemption requirement prior to the audit. In such cases, the exemption will be recorded by the auditor in the Executive Summary section of the Audit Report and will be validated and verified by the auditing SQO 's QC process.

All aircraft fleets recorded on the Operator's AOC shall be accounted for in the Executive Summary whether exempted or not.

The SQO's representatives shall agree any exemptions with the Operator. The Operator shall, in turn, ensure that the Audit, with the exemption(s) is still fit for their purpose, including any third



party stakeholders requirements. In order to continue to meet relevant ICAO SARPs, exemptions shall only be applied where the criteria in this section of the SCPM is met.

9.6. Audit composition and duration

The SARPcheck audit process is 20 man-days in total, comprising of the following:

- 16 man-days onsite auditing.
- 4 man-days for report production and planning.

The onsite phase of the audit is split into specific Auditor Load Units (LU). Each audit team for a single SARPcheck audit is required to cover all of the defined LU's in 16 man-days.

There is a single LU for FLY, and a single LU for CAMO; the auditors for which must cover the minimum discipline specific experience defined in the relevant section. The remaining LU's covering Organisation (ORG), Dispatch (DSP), FLY OPS SUPPORT, Dangerous Goods (DG), Ground Handling (GRH), Security (SEC) will be assigned to qualified OPS auditors. E.g.:

- 1 x SARPcheck Auditor FLY
- 1 x SARPcheck Auditor CAMO
- 2 x SARPcheck Auditors

One of the four auditors is assigned as a lead auditor, there is no limit as to which auditor is designated the Lead Auditor.

The audit process is typically operationalised as 4 x Auditors (as composed above) for 4 days onsite. However, should Operational reasons occur for deviation from this, then changes are permitted providing 20 man-days are achieved in total including Observational Assessments.

The organising SQO has the ultimate responsibility to arrange the audit schedule in conjunction with the Operator's agreement.



9.7. Observers

Observers to the audit process are permitted, based on the following guidelines:

- All Observers must be identified and made known to the SQO and/or Operator in good time prior to the Opening Meeting;
- Consent by both the Auditee and the SQO is required for Observers to be present. Consent will not unreasonably be withheld by the Operator;
- Observers shall be introduced during the Opening meeting to ensure all participants in the audit are aware of their presence and purpose of their presence;
- All Observers must not interfere with or interrupt any part of the audit or assessment process;
- Any concerns or interjections by the Observers should be made to the Lead Auditor outside of the auditing sessions so as not to unduly interrupt or influence the audit.

9.8. Operator's facilities

It is the Operator's responsibility to provide the necessary facilities and resources to the audit team during the onsite phase of the audit.

9.9. Opening meeting

The SQO will perform an Opening Meeting for each SARPcheck audit.

The Operator will have its management as well as the project team in attendance.

The Lead Auditor will conduct the Opening Meeting.

The contents of the opening meeting should cover the minimum, as follows:

- Introduction of audit team members;
- Safety and Emergency procedures applicable to the onsite portion of the audit;
- Overall audit objectives;
- Scope of the audit;



- Administrative Arrangements;
- Audit language/translator guide;
- Observational Assessments Schedule;
- Compliance standards;
- Auditing methods and procedures;
- Confirmation of Operational Profile & Fleets to be audited;
- SQO Audit and HQ Team details.

The possibility to hold remote Opening Meeting in the event that facilities are not in the same location is permitted.

9.10. Auditor audit conduct

The SQO's audit team will use the most current version of the SARPcheck Checklist.

Each Auditor will apply all applicable methods for gathering evidence for assessing compliance during the onsite phase of the audit as specified in Chapter 12, as well as the Observational Assessments.

The audit team shall record all relevant assessment information within the audit software, which will act as the point of record for each SARPcheck audit.

The SQO Audit Team will hold daily meetings at a mutually convenient time, with the Auditee representatives to ensure there are clear lines of communication throughout the audit to share progress, issues, concerns between teams.

The SQO Audit Team will have an internal daily wash-up meeting to provide an information exchange on progress, issues, concerns.

9.11. Risk based process

Whilst <u>the corresponding chapter of this manual</u> details the application of the concept and elaborates deeper on the auditor's possibilities to declare additional SARPcheck checklist



questions as risk-based, this chapter strives to explain the overall concept as well as the process for the SQO to specify risk-based questions during the planning phase.

The SARPcheck Checklist includes a selection of standards that are identified as Risk-related. This selection is based on a methodology that shall be applied by the SQO while preparing the audit. The auditors are requested to audit those standards in more detail (see the corresponding chapter of this manual (Chapter 11.2 ref).

The process by which the SQO will ascertain which questions will be subject to a more thorough review is as follows:

- SQO will score the Operator based on publicly available information: ICAO USOAP scores relating to the Authority under which the Operator is regulated; The FAA Fleet Certification and Age; EU Banned list.
- II. SQO will issue a questionnaire to the Operator during the planning phase in order to ascertain the following:
 - A. Whether new fleets have been introduced within the last audit cycle (or 2 years if initial audit) and if so what and when;
 - B. Whether the Operator conducts international operations;
 - C. Number of accidents per year;
 - D. Number of flights per year
 - E. Fatality accidents in the last 5 years and details of such;
 - F. Notable management of change events;

9.12. Observational Assessments

During a SARPcheck audit, there will be Observational Assessments conducted in specified sections/ operational scopes covered by the SARPcheck Programme. The objective of conducting Observational Assessments is to provide implementation proof to the auditor's assessment from an observation of the operator's actual conduct of operations.

I. Types of Observational Assessments



Observing the actual implementation is an integral part of the actual day-to-day auditing activity. Observational Assessments are a function for certain areas of the audit where the actual conduct of the implementation cannot be observed in the same setting where interviews and document reviews are conducted. These areas are:

OA1 Flight deck: SC Auditor Fly to join a minimum of one regular flight, representative for the operations of the operator and operated by a normal randomly selected crew, on the jumpseat.

OA2 Flight simulator training: SC Auditor Fly to join a minimum of one regular line sim session, representative for the normal training of the operator and conducted by a normal randomly selected crew and instructor. Applicable only if the operator has its own personnel conducting its sim training, otherwise OA2 will be replaced by assessing the operator's oversight over its outsourced service provider's activity.

OA3 Maintenance: SC Auditor CAMO to observe line maintenance operations, aircraft part installation, SB Management and parts/ components handling, representative for the normal maintenance operations of the operator and conducted by normal randomly selected personnel. Applicable only to those portions of this OA where the operator has its own personnel conducting these functions, otherwise OA3 will observe the operator's own conduct of oversight over its outsourced service provider's activity, preferably by observing an audit of the operator on the service provider.

OA4 Ground, Dangerous Goods and Security: SC Auditor to operations relevant to the scope of the related SARPs, representative for the normal operations of the operator and conducted by normal randomly selected personnel. Applicable only to those portions of this OA where the operator has its own personnel conducting these functions, otherwise OA4 will observe the operator's own conduct of oversight over its outsourced service provider's activity, preferably by observing an audit of the operator on the service provider.

II. Scheduling and Duration of Observational Assessments



Timing Parameters: Observational Assessments are conducted during the on-site audit phase or within a 30-day window surrounding that on-site audit phase. This timing ensures that the Observational Assessments are contemporaneous with the audit activities and reflective of the operator's standard operations.

Duration: The length of each observation session is carefully calculated to provide a thorough assessment without unduly disrupting the operator's operations. Sessions typically range from several hours to a full day, depending on the complexity and number of operations to be observed, and will be detailed by the SQO during the planning process of the audit.

III. Auditor Assignment

Observational Assessments will usually be conducted by the same auditor auditing the same discipline(s) during the same audit. Especially in cases where the Observational Assessment cannot be conducted during the on-site phase of the SARPcheck audit and for other reasons at the discretion of the SQO, another auditor qualified for the same scope may be assigned to conduct Observational Assessments.

IV. Audit Planning and Coordination

Engagement with operators: SQO HQ shall coordinate throughout the audit planning process with the operator to ensure that the operator fulfils its obligations to arrange for an unhindered access of the auditor to operations and to minimise disruptions.

Flexibility in Scheduling: Observational Assessments are pre-planned but retain flexibility to adapt to the operator's operational realities.

V. Operator obligations

Operators shall ensure that every Observational Assessment oversees a representative sample representative for the average operation of the operator. Tasks must be real-world tasks that are not just performed for the sake of the Observational Assessment. The Operator at all times shall ensure that the auditor gets unrestricted access to all areas



needed for conducting the Assessment, including access to operator's staff and subcontractors for interviews.

9.13. Non-Compliances

The SQO shall ensure that any non-Compliances are:

- Generated against a specific checklist item and linked to a specific ICAO SARP;
- Based on objective evidence as uncovered during the audit;
- Attempted to be agreed by the Operator during the audit;
- Documented in the checklist in a concise and descriptive way to allow the Operator to begin to identify a corrective action plan.

The categories of non-Compliances are:

- L1 Finding Safety related concern or identified risk
- L2 Finding Not directly Safety related concern or identified risk
- Observation Safety or Compliance recommendation

9.14. Closing meeting

The on-site phase of an Audit shall end with a formal Closing Meeting with the Operator's representatives and the Audit Team.

The Lead Auditor shall conduct the Closing Meeting.

The contents of the Closing Meeting should cover the minimum, as follows:

- Overview of the Preliminary Audit Results (See 10.14);
- Overview of findings and Observations in each discipline;
- Next steps for Phase I audit and entry onto the SARPcheck Registry;
- Process for Phase II (if applicable and selected by the Operator).



The possibility to hold remote Closing Meeting in the event that facilities are not in the same location is permitted.

9.15. Preliminary audit result

The audit results presented at the Closing Meeting are to be viewed as preliminary until the final audit report is issued. The audit reports and findings undergo extensive Quality Control before release and finalisation, the preliminary results are subject to change.

9.16. Audit report

The SARPcheck Audit Report (SAR) is the official record of each SARPcheck Audit. The SAR will comprise of the following elements:

- Executive Summary A complete Summary of the Phase I Audit to give the reader a clear and concise snapshot of the audit and audit results, to include what information will be contained on the SARPcheck Registry.
- SARPcheck Checklist
- List of all Findings and Observations along with Auditor Narratives

9.17. Confidentiality of audit reports

The SARPcheck Audit Reports and data contained within are the property of the Auditee.

The SQO shall have a process by which they keep all audit results and data confidential from any party other than the Auditee.

The audit results and audit data can only be released by the SQO to the contracting Auditee. Requests should be made directly to the Auditee in order to obtain their data for commercial and / or safety reasons.



10. PHASE II – THE CORRECTIVE ACTION PROCESS

10.1. Introduction to Phase II

Phase II of the SARPcheck Programme consists in the closing of the audit findings. It is an optional service, however the completion of Phase II shall be reflected in the Registry. It is a service provided by any of the SQOs. For the audit to be closed, all findings shall be individually closed. No action is requested concerning observations.

10.2. Phase II Agreement

In order to initiate an SQO to plan and conduct Phase II, the SQO and Operator shall execute the Phase II Agreement.

10.3. Corrective Action Process

The inputs of the Corrective Action process are any finding or observation raised by an Auditor and recorded as such at the closing meeting of the audit. A finding or an observation is considered to be defined as a gap between how things should be in accordance with a referenced standard and how they are and/ or have been demonstrated to be (or not to be).

The activities of the Corrective Action process are the following:

- Analysis of Root Cause
 - The Operator defines root causes for each and all findings
- Definition and acceptance of a Corrective Action Plan
 - The Operator defines an owner and a due date for each finding and each observation
 - The Operator sends his Corrective Action Plan to the SQO in charge of the Phase II service.



- The SQO shall assign a Closing Manager to check the Corrective Action Plan and accept or reject it.
- The Operator amends the Corrective Action Plan until it is accepted by the SQO.
- All actions shall be recorded in the SARPcheck Programme tool.
- Completion and validation of the Corrective Action Plan
 - The Operator shall send the evidence of corrective actions taken for each finding.
 - The Closing Manager shall check the evidence and accept or reject them.
 - The Operator shall send new evidence of corrective actions taken if some evidence was not accepted to close the corresponding finding.
 - All actions shall be recorded in the SARPcheck Programme tool.

The outputs are the list of finding closed and the acceptance steps declared by the Closing Manager. Finally, the Registry is updated with the audit closed.

The expected outcome of this process is the successful closing of the audit.

The Operator shall designate a coordinator for the closing of the SARPcheck audit. The process will be controlled by the Closing Manager.

While the Operator is solely and ultimately responsible for the actual closing of the findings, the SQO delivering the service will be the owner of the process and shall ensure the SARPcheck rules are applied.

10.4. Making the Phase II data available to the Operator

It is the responsibility of the SQO to ensure the registry is updated to include the termination of Phase II of the SARPcheck Programme.

The software used by the SARPcheck Programme shall allow for direct upload of data and exchange between the SQO and the Operator.



11. AUDITOR GUIDANCE

11.1. Introduction to Auditor Guidance

This chapter standardises the auditing under the SARPcheck Programme to a degree where all involved parties, including auditors, auditees, authorities and other stakeholders, gain a common understanding on how assessments will be conducted in detail. At the same time the SARPcheck Programme is based on the expertise and experience of the senior aviation experts used as SARPcheck auditors.

It is the intent to provide clarity and transparency on the methodology and criteria for assessing compliances, N/As and non-compliances.

The first sub-chapter defines the process of the utilisation of the SARPcheck checklist, its relation to applicable ICAO Annexes and the necessary steps to be taken by the auditor from starting with a new checklist item until determining its correct assessment.

11.2. Auditing Methodology

The SARPcheck Programme provides a common checklist which has been developed to reflect ICAO SARPs applicable to aircraft operators of different operational scopes. The program is based on highly experienced aviation auditors striving to provide audited entities with a fair and robust audit that reflects both their status of compliance against ICAO SARPs and against the present global industry benchmark best practices against each aspect.

This chapter defines the process for using the SARPcheck checklist while ensuring that all applicable ICAO SARPs will be covered during the course of a SARPcheck audit irrespective of which individual auditor or of which engaged SQO is engaged in the audit.

Auditors shall adhere to the following steps of this chapter which describe the technicality of utilising the checklist and assessment methodologies in a meaningful sequence in order to ensure both full compliance with applicable ICAO SARPs and application of industry-leading auditing techniques and resulting value at the same time.



11.2.1. Introduction to Auditing Methodology

The auditor should utilise a dual-screen setup with the SARPcheck audit checklist being displayed on her/ his notebook and the customer-provided ICAO Annex being displayed on a second device's screen. The checklist and the ICAO Annexes have been matched for ensuring that a SARPcheck audit will cover all applicable ICAO SARPs.

11.2.2. Use of SARPcheck checklist and ICAO SARPs

Step 1: Go to the first SARPcheck audit questionnaire checklist question you wish to audit by going to the operational scope you work in and by sorting the "ICAO Annex" tab first and then by sorting the "ICAO Annex Reference #" tab second.

Step 2: Then go to the "Process" tab and, if the selected question belongs to one of the assigned processes, select it.

Step 3: The checklist will now list top-down for you the selected question plus the following ones which may or may not be related to the same ICAO SARP or process within the operational section in which you are auditing. Then open the related SARP in the annex and review in the light of the scope of the question.

Step 4: Cross-check your interpretation of the scope of the ICAO SARP with the specifications of the checklist's "SARP details" tab. Please note that the scope of the question from the SARPcheck checklist may appear more narrow than the extent of the related ICAO SARP. This may be for various reasons such as the ICAO SARP addressing partially regulators and lawmakers in their duties and related duties derived for operators may only cover a part of the ICAO SARP. However, at all times it remains the sole responsibility of the auditor to ensure that all requirements of each applicable ICAO SARP addressing operator requirements are always being fully audited with no omissions.

11.2.3. Assessing documentation and implementation

The SARPcheck Programme is designed to assess and ensure that these standards are not only documented but are actively implemented and integrated into the daily operations of an



operator. The following sub-chapters outline the process that auditors shall follow to evaluate an operator's compliance with these standards.

The auditor shall adopt a systemic approach in evaluating each SARP. This means assessing the relevance, application, and impact of each standard within the context of the operator's entire operation. The focus shall be on understanding how each standard interplays with others and contributes to the overall safety and efficiency of the operator.

The auditor must adapt their approach (but not the audit scope) based on the specific operational context of the operator. This includes taking into account local conditions, cultural factors, and unique operational challenges.

11.2.3.1 Interviews

Step 5: After having assessed the focus of the question, the intent of the SARP and any underlying high-level concept, program and/ or process which frames the SARP and the question, exchange with the auditee. Start by framing the objective of this interview step which may in many cases exceed the pure answering of the checklist item you're at, but rather focus on the auditor understanding how the operator manages the entire concept, program and/ or process which frames the SARP and the question.

By applying this methodology, the auditor shall be enabled to develop a holistic understanding of the operator's application of the concept, program and/ or process and keep in mind the related specific questions from the related checklist items. Applying that methodology, it is not required that the Auditor audits every question distinctively. If an entire concept, program and/ or process is being audited, the bundle of related questions can be assessed and finished in the checklist in one go.

The auditors shall apply best industry practices when interacting with the auditee in a friendly and professional manner on eye-level. Auditors shall create a friendly atmosphere without compromising the professional exchange on the subject-matter topics, following the guiding principle "hard to the facts, soft to the people".



11.2.3.2 Reviewing documentation

After obtaining a holistic overview through interviewing, the auditor shall first assess if the SARPs are adequately documented before evaluating their implementation in detail. This sequential approach ensures a structured and systematic assessment.

However, in certain situations, evidence collection might need to be deferred due to various operational circumstances (e.g. if implementation can only be observed during an Observational Assessment scheduled later during the week). In such cases, the auditor shall adopt a flexible approach, ensuring that no aspect of the assessment is overlooked.

Step 6: After having understood the operator's question-specific answer and underlying concept, the auditor shall review the Operator's documentation. In order to assess compliance, the operator must furnish proof deemed sufficient by the auditor that confirms the operator fully addresses the intent of the SARP both in its documentation and in its actual operational doing.

Before assessing any of the operator's documentation, the auditor shall ensure any presented document fulfils the <u>SARPcheck criteria of a controlled document as defined in the program rules</u>. Only documentation meeting that definition shall be sufficient for serving as proof of documentation.

Document references are not recorded

11.2.3.3 Collecting Evidence

The auditor shall commence the assessment by ensuring that the ICAO SARPs are not merely documented policies but are actively functioning within the operational structure of the operator. This involves a detailed examination of how these standards are incorporated, maintained, and activated within the operator's organisational framework or outsourced functions. The auditor shall observe the operational processes, interview key personnel, and review relevant documentation to confirm the active integration of these standards. It is vital to ascertain that these standards are not only known to the personnel but are also being actively practised in their daily operational activities.



The auditor shall use a variety of audit techniques, including Observational Assessments, interviews, and reviews of records to gather evidence. This evidence must substantiate the daily application of the SARPs by the concerned personnel.

The auditor must ensure that the evidence is robust and clearly demonstrates compliance or highlights areas of non-compliance.

Step 7a: In conducting a SARPcheck audit, auditors are responsible for gathering and evaluating evidence to ascertain an operator's compliance with ICAO SARPs <u>as per the evidence definition in</u> <u>this manual</u>. This process involves a thorough and objective assessment, avoiding reliance on subjective judgments or opinions. The assessment must be based on a comprehensive collection of factual evidence.

Auditor shall ensure that for every SARPcheck checklist item implementation evidence is sampled as stipulated in the definitions section of this manual.

11.2.3.4 Conducting Observational Assessments

Step 7b: The auditing of every discipline will encompass the conduct of an Observational Assessment in order to corroborate implementation evidence through an observation of the operator's actual conduct.

1. Pre-Observation Preparation

Operational Context Awareness: Auditors are required to familiarise themselves with the operator's operational context, including specific procedures, fleet types, and regular operational practices.

Review of SARPs: Auditors must conduct a comprehensive review of relevant SARPs to ensure focused and informed observational sessions.



2. Execution of Observational Assessments

Objective and Unbiased Assessment: Auditors are trained to observe operations impartially, focusing on alignment with SARPs and industry standards.

Non-Intrusive Observational Technique: Auditors maintain a low profile during Observational Assessments to avoid influencing the behaviour of personnel.

3. Interaction with operator Personnel

Professional Communication: When necessary, auditors engage with operator staff in a professional manner, ensuring clarity of purpose and maintaining the role of an observer.

Confidentiality and Discretion: Auditors adhere to strict confidentiality protocols regarding their findings and maintain discretion throughout their interactions.

4. Additional Observational Assessments

Should the Observational Assessment not allow the auditor to draw sufficient or final conclusions as desired, the auditor may at his/ her own discretion and after obtaining prior SQO consent enlarge the Observational Assessment sample size by requesting one or more additional Assessment, potentially with a specific request to overcome any restrictions that may have prevented the first Operational Assessment from delivering the desired insights.

11.2.3.5 Auditor's role in risk-based concept

The risk-based element of the SARPcheck audit heavily relies on the vast experience and professionalism of the utilised auditors with their objective to get the most robust conclusion from the conduct of the audit.

The application of the risk-based element means that more-than-average time gets allocated to auditing risk-based-marked questions from the SARPcheck audit checklist.

In the initial program setup four auditors work for four days on-site, each putting in an average of 8-hour working days, they will have a total of 7,680 minutes available for work throughout an



audit. Deducting a total of three on-site man-days allocated to the conduct of Observational Assessments during the same time, a total of 6,240 minutes will remain. Assuming a rough number of 400 SARPcheck audit questions, this would make an average of 15.6 minutes for answering every question throughout the audit. With such rough consideration in mind, the answering of many SARPcheck checklist questions will be possible in 10 or less minutes, giving the saved amount of time for extended checks of such questions being marked as risk-based. A contributing factor in favour of an allocation of more time for risk-based questions is the fact that a majority of questions will not be marked as risk-based with only a minority marked as such.

As a general principle, the degree by which an auditor increases its assessment on SARPs marked as risk-based as compared to non-marked SARPs is a duplication size of sampled implementation evidences.

There are three possible ways leading to a declaration of questions as risk-based:

1) SQO

During the planning phase of the audit and with the help of a questionnaire the SQO will determine the initial set of SARPcheck checklist questions being subject to the risk-based concept as determined in the corresponding chapter of this manual.

2) Auditor pre-auditing declaration

During the auditor's own planning of the audit, the auditor may determine additional risks specific to that operator which have not been identified during the SQO's risk-based preparation process. The auditor can add such risk-based ticks to the tickboxes of the corresponding questions and has to inform the SQO and through the SQO the audited operator about such added items. Should time not allow the SQO to prior inform the audited operator about such update, the auditor shall inform the SQO and in parallel directly the operator during the opening meeting through the lead auditor.



3) Auditor on-site declaration

During the course of the audit the auditor can select to add SARPcheck checklist questions to the selected risk-based questions if deemed necessary and possible, subject to prior approval by the Lead Auditor.

11.2.4. Assessing N/A

SARPcheck auditors shall evaluate the applicability of questions and related SARPs to the operator's context and operation based on the following steps on a constant basis throughout the auditing activity and especially, but not only, when the operator considers a question and/ or SARP not applicable which is reflected in the SARPcheck checklist and hence generally being considered applicable by the program.

a) Non-Applicability determined by the auditor:

As part of each auditor's pre-audit preparation, every auditor shall review the operator's operational scope, including network-wide activities, to determine the applicability of each SARP within each auditor's section/s/ scope/s. Technically the auditor shall match the operator's operational profile including all fleets listed on the AOC with the SARPcheck scope of the audit as determined in the audit checklist.

The auditor shall record each N/A decision, providing detailed rationale and context.

b) Non-Applicability determined by the auditee:

If the auditee details that a function considered inside the scope of a SARPcheck audit should be assumed not applicable, the auditor shall determine whether such function

- does in fact not match the scope of the audit as determined in the SARPcheck checklist (e.g. aircraft fleet below minimum defined MTOW) or
- is not part of the operator's approvals e.g. as per AOC and/ or (e.g. operator not being authorised to transport dangerous goods as per operations specifications)



 operations specifications or if the operator has de-activated such functions through a statement in one of its controlled documents (e.g. operator authorised to transport dangerous goods, but its approved Ground Handling Manual .states "although we are authorised to transport DGR, we voluntarily do not make use of this option and herewith prohibit the carriage of any DGR aboard our aircraft")

c) Risks and Mitigation in N/A Assessment:

Incorrect N/A assessments by operators during the preparation phase shall be prevented by all means as during the on-site audit they will lead to an auditing of less prepared items, then considered applicable. The risk of that is the need for more time and a corresponding expansion of the on-site audit time.

Incorrect N/A assessments shall be prevented by SARPcheck auditors by all means as they will result in incomplete audits and the need for re-auditing with all associated efforts and costs..

d) Oversight of Outsourced Functions:

Auditors shall clarify the policy on auditing outsourced functions and the inability to mark these as N/A: Operators at their own discretion can select to outsource any aspect of their operation apart from their responsibility to the extent acceptable to their regulator (s). However, such outsourced functions will not be considered N/A in a SARPcheck audit but included following a different auditing methodology: for every SARPcheck checklist question being covered through an outsourced service provider, the auditor shall not audit that external service provider, but shall ensure adequacy of:

- Availability of a valid contract between the operator and the service provider to both parties
- Availability of latest applicable controlled operator documents to the service provider
- Availability to the operator of proof of delivery of such documentation to the provider



- Proof of oversight exercised by the operator on the service provider within the two years prior to the SARPcheck audit.
- Evaluating the operator's oversight and management of outsourced operations.

e) Sub-SARP Assessments:

In order to fulfil the auditor's responsibility to ensure that each SARPcheck audit covers the entirety of all applicable ICAO SARPs to the audited operator, the auditor shall ensure that every sub-element of every SARP is individually assessed for applicability in each audits. As per the definition in this manual, the meaning of sub-SARP includes both distinctively designated elements (e.g. differentiated by letters) and also different sentences, aspects, views or topics that may be included in the same text element of a SARP.

f) N/A Assessments for equipment exemptions on SARP level:

An exemption due to an equipment not available within a specific aircraft type which is out of the control of the Operator can also occur at the SARP level. If the auditor identifies a specific SARP as exempted for a valid reason that is out of the control of the Operator, the auditor shall ensure that such assessment will be specifically highlighted in the Executive Summary of the Audit Report and mentioned the SARP as N/A in the checklist with a comment clarifying the reason and the associated conditions.

This option should be used with caution and only in the absence of alternatives. Assessing a SARP as N/A due to an exemption resulting from unavailable equipment is permissible only after prior coordination with the Lead Auditor.

g) Recording Non-Applicability

Each N/A assessment must be backed by a comprehensive narrative detailing the nonapplicability of the SARP or SARP-element to the operator. When writing narratives as responses to questions from the SARPcheck checklist, always make sure that the narrative is phrased in a



way that a third-party reader who is neither on-site during the audit nor may know the audited operator will be able to fully understand the assessment and the underlying condition. Authorised vs. Approved: Clarify the use of terms like "authorised" and "approved" in the context of regulatory compliance.

11.2.5. Assessing Recommendations

For every ICAO SARP being within the scope of a SARPcheck audit with the entirety or parts of the SARP being designated "recommendation", the auditor shall verify with the support of the auditee whether the aviation rules and/ or regulator applicable to that operator require implementation of/ adherence to such recommendation. If the auditee confirms that adherence is required, the auditor shall audit SARPcheck checklist questions against such SARP as a must-requirement and disregard the "recommendation" declaration. In case the auditor at its own discretion desires a formal verification of a SARP with "recommendation" declaration being mandatory or not mandatory for the auditee through local rules or regulators, the auditor may require the auditee to evidence any statement by presenting related local rules or regulatory directives confirming related statements. In the absence of any such evidence confirming a recommendation being mandated or not and in any case of doubt by the auditor, the auditor may subject the matter to the Lead Auditor for a determination upon considering the question as referring to a recommendation or a hard requirement.

11.2.6. Types of non-compliances

A finding of non-compliance must be based on a comprehensive view of the operator's system. The auditor must identify and document any gaps in the systemic application of the SARPs, providing clear and actionable feedback for improvement. The auditor shall cross-reference the reviewed documentation with actual practices observed during the audit to ensure consistency and effectiveness.

The implementation assessment shall focus on whether the SARPs are implemented effectively in a manner consistent with their documentation. This involves a detailed comparison of the documented procedures against the actual operational practices.



1) Requirement Implemented no/ documented yes:

The documentation fully addresses the requirement, but implementation is not or not fully executed, effective and/ or integrated.

2) Requirement Implemented yes/ documented no:

A very common and natural assessment which can particularly frequently be observed during initial audits: operators do the right things, but have not yet or have insufficiently documented the requirement.

3) Requirement Implemented no/ documented no:

The assessment of choice if both implementation and documentation do not fully meet the required levels addressing the requirement.

4) Recommendation Implemented no/ documented yes:

The documentation fully addresses the recommendation, but implementation is not or not fully executed, effective and/ or integrated.

5) Recommendation Implemented yes/ documented no:

A very common and natural assessment which can particularly frequently be observed during initial audits: operators do the right things, but have not yet or have insufficiently documented the recommendation.

6) Recommendation Implemented no/ documented no:

The assessment of choice if both implementation and documentation do not fully meet the required levels addressing the recommendation.

Recording Non-Compliance



Each non-compliance assessment must be backed by a comprehensive narrative detailing the non-compliance to the SARP or SARP-element. When writing narratives as responses to questions from the SARPcheck checklist, always make sure that the narrative is phrased in a way that a third-party reader who is neither on-site during the audit nor may know the audited operator will be able to fully understand the assessment and the underlying condition.

11.3. Keyword Guidance

11.3.1. Introduction:

This section provides guidance on keywords which are repeatedly used across the ICAO SARPs in order to support a consistent application of the SARPcheck Programme. Where applicable for each term listed, this section will provide a description of the term from the view of the SARPcheck Programme along with an auditing guideline and high-level general indicators assisting the auditor in her/ his assessments of compliance vs. non-compliance.

11.3.2. Concepts:

11.3.2.1. Standard:

Description:

A "Standard" in the context of an ICAO SARP refers to a recognised and accepted measure of quality, performance, or safety, which is often formally defined and documented. This can encompass written procedures, best practices, benchmarks, or specified requirements that are expected to be consistently met by operators and aviation organisations.

Auditing Guideline:

- Begin by acquiring a detailed understanding of the specific ICAO SARP related to the keyword "Standard."
- Request and review the operator's written documentation pertaining to this "Standard."



- Validate that the operator's interpretation of the "Standard" aligns with the ICAO SARP.
- During interviews, cross-verify the operator staff's understanding of the standard with what is documented.
- Evaluate if there is sufficient training and resources provided to the staff to maintain and understand this standard.
- Assess the extent to which the operator monitors and ensures consistent adherence to this standard in its daily operations.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Evidence of formalised documentation that outlines the "Standard" in detail.
- Regular training sessions or refresher courses on the given "Standard" for relevant personnel.
- Documented audits or reviews conducted periodically to confirm compliance.
- Evidence of continuous improvements or updates made to the "Standard" in response to changes in the ICAO SARP or internal operational demands.
- For a document to be acceptable as evidence, it should:
- Be current and updated.
- Be signed or approved by a responsible authority within the operator.
- Clearly outline the procedures or criteria pertaining to the "Standard."
- Be easily accessible to the relevant personnel.

- Absence of a well-documented or defined "Standard."
- Discrepancies between the operator's documented "Standard" and the ICAO SARP.
- Inconsistent understanding or application of the "Standard" across different departments or personnel.
- Outdated standards that have not been reviewed or updated in light of recent changes or updates to the ICAO SARP.



- Lack of regular audits, reviews, or checks to ensure adherence to the "Standard."
- Feedback or complaints from staff or external stakeholders about noncompliance or ambiguity related to the "Standard."

11.3.2.2. Protocol:

Description:

In the context of aviation and ICAO SARPs, a Protocol refers to a detailed, structured procedure or method that an operator adopts. This can include scientific, operational, or administrative measures designed to ensure safety, efficiency, and compliance with international standards.

Auditing Guideline:

- Documentation Verification: Ascertain that the operator has comprehensive documents detailing the protocol. These should include the protocol's purpose, scope, methods, and any relevant references to the corresponding ICAO SARP.
- Alignment with SARPs: Cross-reference the operator's protocols with the relevant ICAO SARPs to ensure they are in harmony. Look for any disparities or deviations.
- Operational Implementation: Beyond documentation, ascertain if the operator practically implements the protocols in real-world operations. This can be done by observing operations, interviewing relevant personnel, and checking records of protocol application.

- Presence of well-structured protocol documents that cite the relevant ICAO SARP.
- Records of regular protocol reviews and updates.



- Evidence of ongoing training for personnel about the protocol.
- Positive feedback from operator staff about understanding and consistently applying the protocol.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Absence of protocol documentation or incomplete documentation.
- Protocols that don't align with current ICAO SARPs.
- No evidence of protocol being applied in operations.
- Discrepancies between the documented protocol and its practical implementation.
- Negative feedback or confusion among operator staff regarding the protocol.

11.3.2.3. Guideline:

Description:

A guideline in the context of ICAO SARP represents a recommended direction, method, or detailed procedure that has been established based on industry best practices. Such guidelines are designed to provide clarity, uniformity, and efficient execution of tasks within operator operations.

Auditing Guidance:

- 1. Examine the operator's central repository or system to verify the presence and accessibility of all necessary guidelines related to the key-term.
- 2. Randomly select operational staff and inquire about the specific guidelines to assess their level of awareness and understanding.
- 3. Review training records to ascertain that employees have been adequately trained on relevant guidelines.
- 4. During the site visit, observe operational activities to verify if practices align with the operator's guidelines.



5. Examine the communication channels established by the operator to ensure that guidelines, when updated or revised, are promptly disseminated to all relevant stakeholders.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Existence of a centralised system containing all guidelines.
- Training modules specifically targeting the said guidelines.
- Positive feedback from employees about their understanding and application of the guidelines.
- Evidence of periodic reviews and updates of the guidelines.
- Clear, dated, and signed documentation of the guidelines, outlining their purpose, scope, and application procedures. An acceptable document for an auditor would be one that is easily traceable, reviewed periodically, and contains evidence of its distribution among relevant staff.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Absence of guidelines in areas where they are crucial.
- Employees demonstrating a lack of knowledge or clarity about the guidelines.
- Discrepancies between documented guidelines and observed practices.
- No evidence of guidelines being reviewed or updated in alignment with industry developments or changes in ICAO SARPs.
- Documentation that lacks clear identification, dates, or signatures, or is inaccessible to staff who require them for their roles.

11.3.2.4. Requirement:

Description:

A requirement is a stipulated condition or criteria that an operator must fulfil to ensure it is in compliance with ICAO SARPs. It is a compulsory element that mandates specific actions,



processes, or documentation within the operational boundaries of an operator. A requirement may stem from safety standards, operational necessities, or regulatory mandates.

Auditing Guideline:

The auditor should

- Identify all requirements stipulated in the ICAO SARP being assessed.
- Review the operator's documented policies and procedures to ensure that these requirements have been explicitly addressed.
- Confirm that the operator not only has the necessary documentation but has effectively implemented the processes or actions outlined therein.
- Engage with operator personnel or access pertinent records to validate the implementation of the requirements.
- Assess the traceability and clarity of the documentation. Ensure that the document in question provides clear, unambiguous directives or information pertinent to the requirement.

- Comprehensive documentation that explicitly addresses each ICAO SARP requirement.
- Evidence of active implementation, such as relevant training records, operation logs, or system records.
- Positive feedback from operator staff or stakeholders regarding adherence to Periodic internal reviews or assessments validating the implementation and effectiveness of the requirements.
- Any document provided should be current, easily accessible, clearly labelled, and archived systematically.
- Possible indicators for non-Compliances:
 - Absence of documentation or policies pertaining to a specific ICAO SARP requirement.
 - Documentation that is outdated, ambiguous, or lacks essential details.



- Discrepancies between documented processes and actual operational practices.
- Negative feedback or reported incidents resulting from non-compliance or partial adherence.
- Lack of evidence demonstrating periodic reviews or updates to the requirements in question.

11.3.2.5. Framework:

Description:

The fundamental underlying structure within an operator's operations and management system, which provides a basis for its planning, procedures, and operational activities according to ICAO SARPs.

Auditing Guideline:

Examine the operator's documentation to verify the presence and integrity of the operational framework. Review how the operator establishes, documents, maintains, and ensures the functionality of these frameworks. Ensure that the framework's documentation is comprehensive, easily accessible, updated regularly, and is in alignment with the operator's stated objectives and the ICAO SARPs.

- Documentation Assessment: Check for written policies, procedures, diagrams, and any structural representations which outline the operator's framework. Cross-reference with the latest ICAO SARPs to ensure up-to-date compliance.
- Operational Implementation: Observe and interview relevant personnel to determine how the documented framework is applied in day-to-day operations.

- Detailed and organised documentation that accurately depicts the framework structure.
- Clear alignment between the documented framework and the operator's operational objectives.



- Consistent evidence from employees and management regarding the utilisation and understanding of the framework.
- Regular reviews and updates of the framework to accommodate changes and improvements.
- For "documented" as a term: The document should be official, dated, authorised by appropriate personnel, easily retrievable, and stored in a manner that ensures its condition and readability.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Absence or difficulty in accessing relevant documentation.
- Discrepancies between the documented framework and actual operational practices.
- Employee and management feedback suggesting a lack of clarity or understanding of the framework.
- Stagnant or outdated documentation that does not reflect current operations or the latest ICAO SARPs.

11.3.2.6. Assessment:

Description:

An organised process by which an operator evaluates or estimates its operations, personnel, or systems' nature, quality, ability, or performance, typically in relation to an established set of standards or criteria.

Auditing Guideline:

• Documentation Review: Auditors should verify that assessment procedures are well-documented, consistent with ICAO SARPs, and capture the full scope of operations relevant to the key-term.



- Methodology: Ensure that assessment methods are standardised, reliable, and validated for accuracy. Consider if the methods are qualitative, quantitative, or a mix of both.
- Frequency: Check that assessments are carried out at regular intervals, consistent with industry best practices or as stipulated by the ICAO SARP pertaining to the specific key-term.
- Outcomes: Review the results of past assessments for trends, insights, and corrective actions taken in response to identified gaps.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Documentation exists for all assessments, capturing the method, criteria, individuals involved, and outcomes.
- Assessment criteria are aligned with ICAO SARPs and are tailored to the specific needs and contexts of the operator's operations.
- Findings from assessments are used as a basis for continuous improvement, with evidence of corrective actions being taken where necessary.
- A designated team or individual is responsible for conducting and overseeing assessments, ensuring consistency and thoroughness.

- Absence of, or inconsistent documentation, related to the assessment process and its outcomes.
- Use of assessment methods that are inappropriate or not validated for the context in which they're applied.
- Gaps in the frequency of assessments, with long periods where no assessments are conducted.
- Failure to act on identified issues from assessments or lack of a clear mechanism to address gaps.



11.3.2.7. Oversight:

Description:

Oversight refers to the structured process of ensuring that organisational activities align with the set standards, objectives, and regulations, particularly in the context of aviation.

Auditing Guideline:

- Examine the design and functionality of oversight mechanisms in place within the operator.
- Determine whether these mechanisms are comprehensive, objective, and contextually appropriate.
- Assess how the operator's oversight system integrates with other quality control and assurance mechanisms.
- Validate the currency and relevance of the oversight techniques and methodologies, ensuring they are up-to-date with current ICAO SARPs.
- Review the frequency, thoroughness, and consistency of oversight activities.

- Robust oversight mechanisms in place that are consistently applied across all departments.
- Availability of a centralised oversight record-keeping system with traceable action points and resolutions.
- Routine monitoring activities are documented with clear objectives, methodologies, and findings.
- The presence of a feedback loop where findings from oversight activities are relayed back to relevant departments for improvement.
- Evidence of periodic reviews and updates to the oversight system based on findings, industry trends, or changes in ICAO SARPs.
- Clearly articulated corrective actions in response to any identified non-Compliances, with assigned responsibilities and timelines.



• Proactive risk management strategies in place to anticipate and address potential oversight lapses before they occur.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Oversight activities are sporadic, irregular, or inconsistent across departments.
- Absence of documented procedures or guidelines on how oversight activities should be conducted.
- Inadequate or outdated tools and techniques used in oversight processes.
- Absence or delays in taking corrective actions after identification of non-Compliances.
- Reliance on generic oversight templates that are not customised or aligned to the operator's specific needs or challenges.
- Failure to update oversight mechanisms in response to industry changes, feedback, or amendments in ICAO SARPs.
- Lack of transparency or insufficient communication channels to relay oversight findings to relevant stakeholders.
- Gaps in training or upskilling of personnel involved in oversight activities.

11.3.2.8. Management:

Description:

The organised system of practices, processes, and responsibilities specifically designed to achieve predetermined objectives and aims of an operator, ensuring both compliance with regulations and efficient operation.

Auditing Guideline:

The auditor should examine the management's documentation detailing hierarchical structure, responsibilities, communication channels, and how each division or department contributes to the operator's overall objectives. The examination should also cover the operator's strategic goals



and the management's plans to achieve them. It's essential to ensure these plans align with the current operational procedures and regulatory requirements.

- Understand the organisational chart and identify key managerial roles.
- Review minutes of management meetings to assess the decision-making processes.
- Examine feedback mechanisms, like employee feedback or customer complaints, to determine the management's responsiveness.
- Evaluate how the management tracks performance metrics and implements corrective actions when needed.

• Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Documentation is comprehensive, regularly updated, and easily accessible by relevant personnel.
- The organisational structure clearly defines responsibilities and reporting relationships.
- Decision-making processes are formalised, transparent, and involve input from relevant departments.
- Performance metrics are regularly tracked and linked to operational outcomes, with a clear process for addressing any deviations.

- Lack of a clear organisational structure or a structure that has inconsistencies in reporting lines.
- Decisions made are not aligned with the operator's strategic objectives or don't consider input from key departments.
- Limited or no mechanisms in place to obtain or respond to feedback from employees or customers.
- Documentation, where it exists, is outdated, incomplete, or not in alignment with current operations and ICAO SARP requirements.



11.3.3. Activities:

11.3.3.1. Coordinate:

Description:

The process of ensuring that various segments of an activity or departments within an organisation operate synergistically to achieve a unified goal or objective, optimising both resources and time.

Auditing Guideline:

- Evaluate the established mechanisms and protocols for coordination between distinct entities or departments within the operator.
- Scrutinise documentation which provides evidence of coordination, such as minutes of inter-departmental meetings, communication records, or coordination schedules.
- Check for the existence of a dedicated team or individual responsible for coordinating between departments, and evaluate their effectiveness through interviews and observations.
- Review any tools, software, or platforms used by the operator to aid in coordination and determine if they are effectively utilised.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Evidence of regular inter-departmental meetings with clear agendas and outcomes.
- Well-defined roles and responsibilities related to coordination efforts.
- Positive feedback from departments regarding coordination and collaboration efforts.
- Effective use of coordination tools, yielding in timely and efficient operations.



- Absence of, or outdated, documentation supporting coordination efforts.
- Overlapping responsibilities leading to redundancy in tasks.
- Instances of tasks falling through cracks due to lack of coordination.
- Complaints or feedback from staff highlighting areas where coordination is lacking or ineffective.
- Delay in operations or tasks due to waiting on information or actions from another department.

11.3.3.2. Facilitate:

Description: Refers to the measures taken to simplify, aid, or make a procedure or operation more efficient within the context of the operator's operations and services.

Auditing Guideline: Examine the extent and effectiveness of the facilitation measures implemented by the operator. This includes assessing documentation that provides evidence of strategies and practices, as well as observing real-time operations to determine if these strategies translate to on-ground or in-flight procedures.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Documentation showcases plans and strategies that prioritise simplification of tasks.
- Active training programs that educate staff about facilitation measures.
- Positive feedback from staff and passengers about the ease of certain operations.
- Evidence of periodic reviews and updates to facilitation strategies to ensure their effectiveness.
- Systems in place to actively identify potential barriers and measures to mitigate them.

- Lack of clarity in documentation regarding facilitation measures.
- Absence of continuous improvement plans or strategies for facilitation.



- Negative feedback from staff or passengers indicating complicated or burdensome procedures.
- Delayed processes or services which could be attributed to poor facilitation strategies.
- Evidence of ignored feedback or suggestions that would have contributed to better facilitation.

11.3.3.3. Establish:

Description: The term 'establish' in the context of ICAO SARPs refers to the process of formally instituting or putting into place systems, protocols, processes, or entities within an operator to ensure a secure, consistent, and enduring operational environment.

Auditing Guidance: Auditors assessing an operator's adherence to the ICAO SARP related to 'establish' should focus on evaluating the robustness, effectiveness, and longevity of the implemented structures. This involves scrutinising the planning, initiation, execution, and documentation stages of any system or process established within the operator.

Best Practices and Standards:

Auditors should assess the clarity, completeness, and accessibility of the documentation that delineates the establishment of systems or processes. Additionally, auditors should observe whether the operator has put in place a systematic and comprehensive approach to creating, testing, and institutionalising its protocols.

- Comprehensive Documentation: The operator maintains extensive records, inclusive of policies, procedural manuals, and records of implementation.
- Long-Term Sustainability: Evidence that systems and processes have been designed considering scalability and longevity, and are adaptable to evolving industry standards.



- Alignment with Objectives: Systems and processes established clearly align with the operator's strategic goals and operational needs.
- Stakeholder Involvement: Inclusive involvement of relevant stakeholders during the establishment phase to ensure comprehensiveness and practicality.

Possible Indicators for Non-Compliances:

- Lack of Documentation: Absence or incomplete records of the initiation, planning, and execution phases, leading to potential ambiguities in established processes.
- Temporary Measures: Reliance on provisional or makeshift solutions which do not demonstrate a commitment to permanence or sustainability.
- Misalignment with Objectives: Established processes that do not align or are incongruent with the operator's strategic vision or operational requirements.
- Exclusivity in Planning: Limited stakeholder consultation and engagement in the establishment phase, leading to potential oversights and impracticalities.

11.3.3.4. Maintain:

Description: Ensure the persistence of a specific condition, functionality, or standard over time.

Auditing Guideline: Examine the operator's continuous and systematic efforts to preserve the functionality, safety, and efficiency of its systems or processes, ensuring they remain in optimum operational condition. The assessment should encompass a deep dive into the documentation, training records, maintenance logs, and other relevant evidence to ascertain the regularity and quality of the maintenance efforts. Collaboration with technical and operational teams is essential to gain a comprehensive understanding of the maintenance practices and schedules.

- Comprehensive maintenance schedules that are regularly adhered to.
- Detailed logs of maintenance activities carried out, complete with timestamps and technician details.



- Existence of a dedicated team or department focusing on maintaining systems or processes.
- Regular training and workshops for maintenance staff to stay updated with the latest techniques and standards.
- Investments in tools, equipment, and technologies to assist in maintenance tasks.
- Proactive identification and rectification of potential issues before they escalate.
- Periodic reviews and updates to the maintenance procedures to align with industry best practices.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Absence or irregularities in maintenance logs or records.
- Systems or equipment showing visible signs of wear, damage, or obsolescence.
- Reliance on outdated techniques or tools for maintenance.
- Consistent complaints or feedback from operational teams regarding system inefficiencies or breakdowns.
- Lack of a clear policy or procedure addressing regular maintenance needs.
- Inadequate budget allocation or investment in maintenance-related activities.
- Delays in addressing known issues or problems flagged by the maintenance teams.

11.3.3.5. Document:

Description:

The act of capturing, recording, and maintaining information in a structured written or electronic form to provide evidence of activities, decisions, or processes related to aviation operations.

Auditing Guideline:

• Review the organisation's documentation system to ensure there are procedures in place for creating, reviewing, approving, and storing documents.



- Validate that the recorded information is comprehensive, relevant, and mirrors actual practices and procedures in operation.
- Evaluate the ease of retrieval and accessibility of documents to relevant personnel, ensuring that controls are in place to maintain the integrity and confidentiality of the information when necessary.
- Compare the documentation with ICAO SARP standards to ensure they are aligned and compliant.
- Check the periodic review system to ensure documents remain current and relevant.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Clear documentation processes in place with designated responsibilities for creation, review, and approval.
- All relevant information is captured comprehensively and structured in an organised manner.
- Presence of a version control system that tracks changes and updates to the document.
- Documents are easily retrievable by authorised personnel and stored securely to protect against unauthorised access or tampering.
- Evidence of regular reviews, updates, and training on documented procedures to keep them aligned with the operator's operations and regulatory requirements.

- Absence of a clear process or procedure for documentation.
- Evidence of documents that don't reflect actual operational practices.
- Difficulty in accessing or retrieving documents by personnel who require them for their roles.
- Lack of version control, resulting in discrepancies or use of outdated documents.
- Absence of training or familiarisation sessions for staff on new or revised documentation.



11.3.3.6. Verify:

Description:

A process through which the authenticity, accuracy, and consistency of specific data, information, or procedure is established. In an aviation context, verification ensures that operational standards and procedures align with set ICAO SARPs.

Auditing Guideline:

- Dive deep into the operator's documentation that elucidates the verification process.
- Evaluate the methods and tools used by the operator to establish the authenticity of data or accuracy of procedures.
- Cross-reference the verification processes with ICAO SARP guidelines.
- Investigate any historical evidence where verification has led to changes or improvements.
- Engage with personnel to understand how they perform verifications and if they are familiar with the standards set.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Comprehensive documentation detailing the verification procedures.
- Clear traceability of verified data back to its source.
- Training logs that show employees have been trained on verification processes.
- Records of regular reviews and updates to verification protocols.
- Testimonials or interviews with staff demonstrating a clear understanding of the verification processes.

- Absence or incomplete verification documentation.
- Discrepancies between claimed verifications and actual practices.



- Absence of a feedback loop to improve verification processes based on identified gaps.
- Inconsistencies in how different departments or teams handle verification.
- Lack of training or outdated training materials related to verification.

11.3.3.7. Validate:

Description:

Ensure the legitimacy, credibility, and accuracy of procedures, processes, or documents to confirm they adhere to established standards and requirements.

Auditing Guideline:

- Assess the comprehensiveness and effectiveness of the validation process utilised by the operator.
- Examine validation records, methods, tools, and protocols. Determine the extent to which outcomes align with the intended standards or requirements.
- Interact with relevant personnel to gather insights into the practical application of validation procedures.
- Cross-reference the operator's validation process with ICAO SARP guidelines and other relevant aviation standards.

- Comprehensive validation procedures documented and consistently applied.
- Presence of a structured framework for determining validation criteria.
- Validation outcomes are systematically recorded and archived for future reference.
- Personnel are trained and familiar with the validation processes.
- Periodic reviews and updates of validation procedures to ensure their relevance and effectiveness.



Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Absence of a coherent validation procedure or documentation.
- Discrepancies between validation outcomes and established standards.
- Lack of clarity or consistency in how validation criteria are determined or applied.
- Absence of training or awareness programs for personnel about the validation processes.
- Validation records show recurrent issues or discrepancies that are not addressed or rectified.

11.3.3.8. Authorise:

Description:

The act of officially allowing or granting permission for an activity, operation, or process within an operator.

Auditing Guideline:

Examine the processes and procedures through which the operator grants permissions. Evaluate the clarity and comprehensiveness of roles and responsibilities associated with those permissions. Review any relevant documentation or digital permissions settings to determine the extent and appropriateness of authorisation. Consider whether there are clear lines of authority and mechanisms in place for revoking or changing permissions.

- Documented authorisation policies and procedures.
- Digital records or logs of authorisation approvals and changes.
- Defined roles and responsibilities for granting and revoking permissions.
- Periodic reviews or audits of authorisation levels.
- Clear communication channels for employees to seek and receive authorisation.
- Training records showing that employees are informed about authorisation protocols.



Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Overlapping roles leading to ambiguities in who can authorise certain actions.
- Instances of individuals performing tasks or accessing areas/data without the necessary authorisation.
- Lack of a centralised or clear record of authorisation statuses.
- Missing documentation for some authorisation grants.
- No procedures in place for regular review or update of authorisations.
- Employees reporting confusion about how to obtain necessary authorisations for their roles.

11.3.3.9. Approve:

Description:

The act of granting official endorsement or recognition after ensuring that the set criteria or standards are met. This denotes that the aspect under consideration, be it a process, procedure, or system, has been reviewed and has met the required expectations.

Auditing Guideline:

- Examine the operator's formal processes for approval. This should encompass how they evaluate, what criteria they set, who is responsible for granting approvals, and what documentation is necessary.
- Scrutinise the validity of the approval stamps, signatures, or digital endorsements. Ensure these are from the authorised personnel and that there are control measures to avoid misuse.
- Assess the regularity and consistency of the approval processes. Cross-reference with respective ICAO SARPs to ensure they align.
- Investigate the training and qualification of those responsible for granting approvals. They should possess the required expertise and be well-versed with the relevant ICAO SARPs.



Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Existence of comprehensive documentation that includes a trail of approvals for various processes.
- Clear, explicit criteria set for approvals that align with ICAO SARPs.
- Regular internal audits or reviews that ensure adherence to the approval processes.
- Presence of valid endorsements, either through digital mechanisms or official stamps and signatures.
- Evidence of continuous training and updating of those responsible for granting approvals.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Absence of essential approval documentation or evidence of bypassed approval steps.
- Ambiguous or outdated criteria for approval processes.
- Discrepancies between the operator's approval practices and the relevant ICAO SARPs.
- Approvals granted by personnel who lack the proper authorisation or expertise.
- Lack of periodic reviews or audits to ensure the integrity and validity of the approval processes.

11.3.4. Desired Outcomes:

11.3.4.1. Secure/Securely:

Description:

Ensuring the protection of assets, data, personnel, and passengers from any kind of potential danger or threat, both externally and internally, within the aviation environment.

Auditing Guideline:



- Review the operator's security policy and strategy to ensure they align with the latest ICAO SARPs.
- Evaluate the efficacy of the security training programs provided to staff.
- Check the physical security measures in place, such as barriers, surveillance systems, and access control mechanisms.
- Assess the cybersecurity protocols for protection against data breaches or unauthorised access to sensitive information.
- Conduct interviews with key security personnel and review their qualifications and training to ensure competence in maintaining security standards.
- Verify the frequency and thoroughness of security drills, simulations, and evaluations.
- Review any past incidents or breaches and ensure that corrective actions were taken and are effective.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Comprehensive security training for all relevant staff.
- Regular security audits and drills conducted with documented outcomes.
- State-of-the-art surveillance systems and access control mechanisms in place.
- Immediate and effective response to any potential or real threats.
- Strong collaboration with local law enforcement and aviation security agencies.
- Updated and regularly reviewed cybersecurity measures.

- Absence of regular reviews or updates to security protocols.
- Infrequent or ineffective security training for staff.
- Inadequate or malfunctioning surveillance and access control systems.
- Past incidents or security breaches without documented corrective actions.
- Reports or complaints from staff or passengers regarding perceived security lapses.
- Reliance on outdated technology or procedures for security purposes.



11.3.4.2. Reliable/Reliably:

Description:

Refers to the capability of systems, processes, or entities within the aviation industry to consistently produce a desired result or maintain a standard over time, ensuring that it can be depended upon without causing undue risk or doubt.

Auditing Guideline:

- Analyse the documentation pertaining to the specific system, process, or entity to establish a clear understanding of its intended function and performance standard.
- Engage with relevant personnel to gather insight into the day-to-day operational aspect, ensuring that the practical application aligns with the documented procedures.
- Utilise data sampling or observation methods to evaluate consistency over a designated period of time.
- Assess any monitoring and feedback mechanisms that the operator has put in place to detect and rectify any deviations from the intended reliable performance.
- Compare the operator's systems, processes, or entities against the industry best practices to determine if it meets or exceeds the reliability standards set by ICAO SARPs.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Clear and comprehensive documentation detailing procedures, responsibilities, and expected outcomes.
- Positive feedback from personnel regarding the reliability of the system, process, or entity.
- Historical data indicating stable performance with minimal deviations or incidents.



- Effective monitoring and rectification systems in place that actively address any deviations from the set standard.
- Consistency in training modules that align with the documented procedures to ensure that personnel are well-equipped to maintain reliability.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Gaps or ambiguities in documentation that do not provide a clear guideline for reliable performance.
- Negative or inconsistent feedback from personnel indicating a lack of trust or satisfaction with the system, process, or entity.
- Data or records showcasing multiple incidents, deviations, or failures in a short span of time.
- Absence or ineffective monitoring systems that do not identify or rectify deviations in a timely manner.
- Discrepancies between the documented procedures and the training provided to personnel, leading to potential inconsistencies in operation.

11.3.4.3. Sustainable/Sustainably:

Description:

A characteristic of an operation, procedure, or approach within the operator that ensures longterm viability and balance, taking into consideration ecological, social, and economic dimensions, without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs or causing detrimental impacts to the environment.

Auditing Guideline:



- Examine the operator's strategic planning documents to ensure that there is an emphasis on sustainability.
- Investigate how environmental considerations are factored into daily operations, planning, and decision-making processes.
- Validate that the operator has a dedicated team or department focusing on sustainable practices.
- Confirm that the operator has set measurable sustainability targets and benchmarks.
- Scrutinise the training and awareness programs targeted towards fostering a culture of sustainability.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Presence of a clear sustainability policy documented within the company.
- Adoption of fuel-efficient flight practices and utilisation of sustainable aviation fuels.
- Collaboration with environmental organisations to offset carbon emissions.
- Regular sustainability reporting and transparency in sharing such reports with stakeholders.
- Engagement in community-based projects promoting sustainability.
- Use of energy-efficient infrastructure and equipment in the operator's facilities.
- Establishment of waste management and recycling initiatives.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Absence of a dedicated sustainability team or lack of emphasis on sustainable practices.
- Failure to regularly monitor and report on environmental impacts.
- No strategic plans or targets to reduce greenhouse gas emissions or other environmental footprints.
- Lack of training and awareness initiatives around sustainable practices for staff.



- Investments in infrastructure or equipment that are known to have high environmental impacts.
- Resistance to adopt newer, more sustainable technologies or methods.
- Non-compliance with local or international environmental regulations and standards.

11.3.4.4. Transparent/Transparently:

Description:

Engaging in operations and communications in a manner that allows for ease of visibility, understanding, and straightforward interpretation by relevant stakeholders.

Auditing Guideline:

- Evaluate the operator's commitment to ensuring transparency in both its documentation and operational activities.
- Scrutinise written documents, manuals, and protocols for their comprehensibility and accessibility to concerned personnel.
- Investigate communication channels to determine if the operator promotes straightforwardness and clarity in conveying essential information.
- Speak with staff and crew members to understand their perspective on the operator's openness and transparency in daily operations and during anomalies.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Easily accessible and comprehensible policies and procedures.
- Company documentation that is written in plain language and avoids unnecessary jargon.
- Regular and proactive updates on company operations, policies, or any changes.
- Effective training sessions ensure that employees understand protocols and procedures.



• Availability of communication channels for employees to voice concerns or seek clarifications.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Important documents are frequently unavailable or inaccessible to the staff.
- Over-reliance on complex jargon in communications and documents that obscure meaning.
- Reluctance or delay in sharing information about significant changes or events.
- Lack of feedback mechanisms for employees to discuss issues or ambiguities they encounter.
- Observed inconsistencies between documented procedures and actual operational practices.

11.3.4.5. Compliant/Compliance:

Description:

The state in which an operator's operations, procedures, and documentation align with and satisfy the stipulated rules, standards, or laws, as set out by the ICAO SARP and other applicable aviation regulations.

Auditing Guideline:

- Begin by collecting and reviewing the operator's documentation that pertains to the specific ICAO SARP in question. This could include manuals, standard operating procedures (SOPs), training records, or safety assessments.
- Interview relevant personnel, such as flight operations staff, maintenance crews, and management, to ascertain their understanding and application of the rules and standards.
- Observe the operator's actual operational practices, comparing them to the provided documentation to ensure that there's consistency in both.



- Verify that the operator has a mechanism in place to update their processes and documentation as and when there are changes to the ICAO SARP or other relevant regulations.
- Ensure that there is a system in place for monitoring and ensuring ongoing compliance. This could be in the form of internal audits, feedback loops, or continuous training programs.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Clear documentation that details processes, procedures, and responsibilities with reference to the specific ICAO SARP.
- Testimonials or records indicating regular training of staff on the latest rules and standards.
- Records of periodic internal audits and reviews conducted by the operator to ensure compliance.
- Feedback mechanisms that capture and act upon any discrepancies or gaps in compliance.
- Open channels of communication between various departments to ensure cohesive adherence to standards.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Absence of, or outdated, documentation relating to the relevant ICAO SARP.
- Personnel unaware of or confused about certain rules and standards.
- Discrepancies between the operator's documented procedures and its actual operational practices.
- Absence of, or irregular, training sessions on ICAO SARP and other relevant regulations.
- Lack of mechanisms to capture feedback or concerns about compliance issues.



11.3.5. Entities/Actors:

11.3.5.1. State:

Description:

A State, in the context of ICAO SARPS, refers to a nation or territory that functions as an organised political entity, possessing its own governing body and sovereignty. The State is responsible for the administration and oversight of civil aviation activities within its borders or territories.

Characteristics:

- Sovereignty: The absolute authority over its internal and external affairs without interference from other States.
- Governance: Has its own governing body such as a government or administration that exercises authority and establishes regulations and policies.
- Jurisdiction: Possesses the power to manage and oversee aviation activities, ensuring compliance with national regulations and international agreements.
- Territorial Integrity: Recognised geographic boundaries within which it exercises authority.
- ICAO Relevance: As per ICAO's SARPs (Standards and Recommended Practices), each member State is required to uphold specific standards in the realm of aviation to ensure safety, security, efficiency, and regularity of international civil aviation. The SARPs cover a vast array of topics, including air navigation services, aircraft operations, and aerodrome standards, among others.

11.3.5.2. Operator:

Operator as defined in ICAO SARPs Context:

An operator, within the framework of ICAO's Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs), refers specifically to an entity or organisation that has the legal and operational responsibility for the use of aircraft. This entity ensures that all operations involving aircraft are conducted in accordance with the respective national and international regulations. In the aviation industry,



common examples of operators include commercial operators that transport passengers or cargo, corporate flight departments that manage business jets, charter companies, agricultural aviation companies, and training schools with a fleet of instructional aircraft. The term 'operator' encompasses not just the actual flying of the aircraft, but also the associated administrative, maintenance, and operational tasks.

11.3.5.3. Authority:

Regulatory and Governance Entity

A recognised and established organisation or governing body endowed with the legal power and jurisdiction to create, enforce, or interpret rules, regulations, directives, or policies pertaining to specific areas or domains. Within the context of aviation, this often refers to national or regional civil aviation authorities.

Attributes:

- Jurisdictional Range: Specifies the geographical or operational domain over which the authority has power. For aviation, this could be national, regional, or even international in certain collaborative contexts.
- Regulatory Framework: The set of rules, guidelines, and policies that the authority enforces. This might include safety regulations, operational guidelines, or licensing requirements.
- Enforcement Mechanism: Tools or procedures used by the authority to ensure compliance, such as inspections, audits, penalties, or certifications.
- Liaison with ICAO: The nature and frequency of interactions between the authority and ICAO. This can provide insight into how closely the local regulations align with ICAO's SARPs.

11.3.5.4. Agency:

Description:



An agency in the context of ICAO SARPs refers to a designated authority or a formal entity, either governmental or private, that is entrusted with specific responsibilities, tasks, or mandates related to aviation operations. This may encompass oversight, administration, regulation, certification, or other critical functions directly or indirectly tied to the aviation sector.

Scope & Functions:

In the aviation context, agencies may be tasked with duties ranging from airspace management, safety and security oversight, pilot and crew certifications, aircraft airworthiness assessments, or even environmental standards monitoring. They operate under strict guidelines set by either national regulations or international standards.

11.3.5.5. Personnel:

Personnel refers to the individuals who are officially employed by or associated with an operator, working in various capacities to ensure the smooth operation of the operator's activities. These individuals might range from pilots, cabin crew, and ground staff to air traffic controllers, maintenance engineers, administrative staff, and more. Their roles and responsibilities are typically defined by job descriptions, qualification criteria, training records, and performance evaluations. Personnel also includes those on temporary contracts, part-time workers, and possibly consultants who play a role in the operator's operations.

11.3.5.6. Organisation:

Overview:

In the context of ICAO SARPs, when referring to "Organisation," it implies a distinct entity within the aviation sector that plays a pivotal role in ensuring that aviation standards, procedures, and protocols are maintained. This is especially relevant when an aviation auditor is examining an operator's adherence to ICAO SARPs.



© 2024 SARP Limited - Edition 1 Rev.01 (27 March 2024) Document becomes uncontrolled once distributed

Description:

An organisation, within the aviation framework, is a systematic arrangement of individuals or teams that come together under a common governance structure. This structure often consists of hierarchical roles and responsibilities that enable the organisation to function smoothly. The main objective of an organisation in aviation is to achieve specific goals, be it operational efficiency, safety protocols, or adherence to international standards.

Key Features:

- Governance Structure: The leadership and managerial framework that outlines roles, responsibilities, and lines of authority. This often includes the board of directors, executive management, and other key personnel.
- Operational Units: Different departments or teams responsible for specific tasks such as maintenance, operations, safety, training, and compliance, among others.
- Procedures and Protocols: Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), guidelines, and other documented processes that the organisation follows to ensure safety, efficiency, and regulatory compliance.
- Cultural Aspects: The values, beliefs, and behaviours that are promoted and encouraged within the organisation, which can greatly impact its overall performance and adherence to standards.

11.3.5.7. Stakeholder:

Description:

Within the context of ICAO SARPs, a "stakeholder" refers to any individual, group, organisation, or entity that has a vested interest in the decisions, actions, or outcomes related to aviation operations, policies, and developments. Stakeholders can have direct or indirect influence and can be affected positively or negatively by the decisions and actions taken in the aviation sector.

Examples:



- Airlines/ operators: They rely on the standards and recommended practices to ensure safe, secure, and sustainable operations.
- Pilots and Cabin Crew: Their daily operations are directly influenced by aviation regulations and standards.
- Air Traffic Controllers (ATCs): They work in coordination with operator operations and are affected by changes in aviation procedures.
- Airport Operators: They need to align their infrastructure, safety, and security measures with the standards.
- Regulatory Authorities: National aviation authorities or civil aviation authorities that are responsible for implementing and overseeing ICAO's standards.
- Passengers: Their safety and convenience are directly affected by aviation standards.
- Local Communities: Those living near airports or under flight paths might be affected by noise, environmental, and safety concerns.
- Aircraft Manufacturers: They design and build aircraft based on these standards and practices.
- Environmental Groups: Concerned with the environmental impact of aviation activities, including emissions and noise.
- Suppliers and Service Providers: From fuel suppliers to maintenance organisations, they must adhere to and operate based on these standards.

11.3.6. Areas of Focus:

11.3.6.1. Safety:

Description:

The state in which the risk of harm or injury to persons or property damage is reduced and maintained at an acceptable level through a continuous process of hazard identification and risk management.

Auditing Guideline:



- Review the operator's safety management system (SMS) for its comprehensiveness and effectiveness.
- Examine the training programs and records to ensure they meet the prescribed safety standards.
- Evaluate the adequacy and maintenance of safety equipment and facilities.
- Analyse incident and accident reports, as well as their subsequent investigations, to understand root causes and corrective actions taken.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Comprehensive and updated Safety Management System (SMS) in place.
- Regular safety training sessions and drills conducted for all employees.
- Presence of well-maintained safety equipment such as fire extinguishers, evacuation slides, and life vests.
- Regular safety audits and reviews are conducted with evidence of continuous improvements.
- Incident reports are thoroughly documented, with evident root cause analysis and timely corrective actions.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Absence or outdated Safety Management System (SMS).
- Inadequate or irregular safety training for staff.
- Safety equipment is either missing, outdated, or not maintained.
- Incident and accident reports are either not available, incomplete, or lack indepth analysis and corrective actions.
- Discrepancies between the operator's safety procedures and ICAO's SARPs.

11.3.6.2. Security:

Description:



Protocols, systems, and methods instituted by the operator to prevent unauthorised access, detect potential threats, and respond to any security challenges in an effort to guard against espionage, sabotage, crime, attack, or escape.

Auditing Guideline:

- Evaluate the robustness of security protocols in place.
- Examine any documented breaches or security incidents and the subsequent responses by the operator.
- Assess the training programs provided to the operator staff regarding security awareness and procedures.
- Review the availability and functionality of security equipment, such as CCTV systems, metal detectors, and biometric systems.
- Examine coordination with local and international security agencies and regularity of security drills and simulations.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Comprehensive and updated security protocols.
- Well-documented incident reporting and response procedures.
- Regular training sessions on security awareness and best practices for staff.
- Advanced security infrastructure in place, such as biometric access and facial recognition.
- Collaboration with reputable security consultants or firms for periodic review and upgrading of security measures.
- Positive feedback from staff and stakeholders about the security standards and protocols of the operator.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Gaps or inconsistencies in the security protocols.
- Historical records of unresolved or inadequately addressed security breaches.
- Lack of regular updates to security measures in line with industry standards.



- Inadequate or malfunctioning security equipment.
- Absence of regular security awareness training for the operator staff.
- Negative reports or feedback related to the operator's security standards and responsiveness.

11.3.6.3. Environment:

Description:

Surrounding conditions, both natural and man-made, that can influence or be influenced by aviation operations.

Auditing Guideline:

- Examine the operator's official documentation for evidence of comprehensive environmental protocols. This may include Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) related to minimising environmental impact.
- Seek evidence of conducted environmental impact assessments, particularly those related to noise, emissions, and waste management, for operations in specific regions or under specific conditions.
- Review the operator's strategies and plans for mitigating environmental harm, especially in areas identified as being particularly sensitive or vulnerable. This can include strategies related to fuel efficiency, waste reduction, and alternative energy sources.
- Consider interviews with key personnel to gauge awareness and understanding of environmental responsibilities and measures in place.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Established and documented procedures addressing environmental considerations.
- Active initiatives to reduce carbon footprint, such as fuel-efficient flight planning and waste reduction programs.



- Periodic reviews and updates of environmental impact assessments to remain current with changing operations or environmental conditions.
- Collaboration or partnerships with environmental organisations or initiatives.
- Training programs for staff on environmental protocols and best practices.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Absence of or outdated environmental protocols and assessments in official documentation.
- Evidence of operations leading to avoidable harm or damage to sensitive environmental areas without mitigation or compensation measures.
- Discrepancies between documented environmental protocols and actual operational practices.
- Lack of awareness or understanding among staff regarding environmental responsibilities or measures.
- Inadequate response or lack of a corrective action plan following identified environmental incidents or breaches.

11.3.6.4. Operations:

Description:

The routine and consistent activities, tasks, and functions carried out by an operator in order to achieve its objectives and ensure safety, efficiency, and regulatory compliance during its day-today flight operations.

Auditing Guideline:

- Examine the operator's Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and operational handbooks.
- Evaluate the efficiency metrics used by the operator to measure operational performance.



- Analyse the outcomes, especially in relation to safety incidents, delays, cancellations, and customer complaints.
- Consult with relevant operational staff, such as pilots, cabin crew, and ground personnel to gather insights on practical implementation of documented procedures.
- Compare the operator's operational practices against relevant ICAO SARPs and identify any areas of divergence or alignment.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Consistent adherence to established SOPs by operational staff.
- High level of punctuality in flight schedules.
- Fewer safety incidents or near misses reported during operations.
- Positive feedback from both crew and passengers regarding operational practices.
- Robust systems in place for continuous monitoring and improvement of operations.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Deviation from established SOPs without valid justification.
- Repeated safety incidents or breaches related to operational errors.
- Regular flight delays or cancellations attributed to operational inefficiencies.
- Negative feedback or high volume of complaints from passengers about the operator's operational handling.
- Lack of an effective mechanism to identify, report, and rectify operational discrepancies.

Description:



^{11.3.6.5.} Navigation:

The systematic procedure and activities involved in determining the precise position of an aircraft and the planning and execution of a safe and efficient route from one location to another.

Auditing Guideline:

- Assess the quality, accuracy, and currency of navigation tools and equipment used.
- Examine the content, frequency, and effectiveness of navigation-related training provided to the flight crew.
- Review the operator's navigation protocols, operational procedures, and any associated checklists.
- Consult with flight crew members and navigation experts within the operator to understand operational realities and practical challenges.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- State-of-the-art navigation tools and systems in place that are regularly updated.
- Comprehensive training programs covering both basic navigation principles and advanced techniques, with regular refresher courses.
- Clearly defined and documented navigation procedures that align with international standards.
- Positive feedback from flight crews regarding the usability and accuracy of navigation tools and systems.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Use of navigation tools or systems that are outdated, malfunctioning, or not regularly maintained or updated.
- Absence or inadequacy of navigation training programs, or reports of training not aligning with real-world operational needs.



- Ambiguous or missing navigation procedures, or procedures that diverge from recognised industry standards without a valid justification.
- Reports or evidence of frequent navigation errors, or feedback from flight crews indicating dissatisfaction or concerns with navigation protocols or tools.

11.3.6.6. Infrastructure:

Description:

The essential physical assets, facilities, buildings, and related organisational structures that enable and support the operations of an operator, encompassing areas like terminals, hangars, maintenance facilities, runways, IT systems, and staff areas.

Auditing Guideline:

Assess the structural integrity, regularity of maintenance, modernity, and suitability of the operator's infrastructure. Review the processes the operator has in place for inspecting, maintaining, and updating its infrastructure. Ensure that the infrastructure aligns with the needs and scale of operator operations.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Comprehensive maintenance logs for facilities and equipment.
- Use of modern technologies and equipment.
- Adequate facilities for staff, passengers, and cargo.
- Clear demarcation and signage within operator facilities.
- Efficient IT infrastructure to support operations and communication.
- Backup systems in place for critical operational equipment.
- Adequate safety measures and equipment in and around the infrastructure.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

• Lack of regular maintenance records or schedules.



- Facilities showing signs of wear and tear without any recent repair or update.
- Inadequate facilities relative to the scale of operations or passenger traffic.
- Obsolete or malfunctioning IT systems.
- Absence of backup systems for essential infrastructure elements.
- Visible safety hazards or lack of standard safety equipment.
- Inefficient layout leading to operational bottlenecks or delays.

11.3.6.7. Capacity:

Description:

The quantifiable upper limit or maximum value, in terms of volume or number, that can be safely and effectively contained, managed, or accommodated within a specified system or equipment in the context of aviation operations.

Auditing Guideline:

- Examine detailed capacity metrics, taking into account peak periods and off-peak periods.
- Scrutinise limitations that the operator has put in place, whether due to aircraft type, operational considerations, or infrastructure constraints.
- Assess any expansion or enhancement plans the operator has, ensuring they align with projected growth rates and market trends.
- Compare the stated capacity in the operator's documentation with empirical data gathered during audits.
- Engage in conversations with operational staff to understand capacity challenges and mitigation strategies they employ.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

• The operator's capacity adequately supports its current operational volume and has a buffer for unforeseen peaks.



- Documentation clearly states capacity limitations and provides rationale for the set limits.
- There's evidence of a proactive approach towards capacity management, including periodic reviews and adjustments.
- Clear processes in place to address any unforeseen capacity challenges in realtime.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Evidence of routine overruns beyond stated capacity during operations.
- Absence of clear documentation or justification for set capacity limits.
- Lack of a structured plan to address future growth or market changes impacting capacity.
- Reports of operational delays or disruptions attributable directly to capacity constraints.
- Feedback from operational staff indicating frequent struggles with managing within the set capacity.

11.3.6.8. Equipment:

Description:

Physical tools, machinery, and other tangible items utilised to achieve specific tasks or functions relevant to operator operations as per ICAO SARPs.

Auditing Guideline:

- Review available documentation related to equipment procurement, maintenance schedules, and update records.
- Evaluate the compatibility of the equipment with current operational needs and ICAO SARP requirements.



- Engage with relevant operational staff to understand frequency and rigour of equipment checks.
- Cross-check the equipment's compliance with industry best practices and safety standards specific to aviation.
- Scrutinise the history of equipment-related incidents or mishaps and the measures taken in response.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Consistent maintenance and inspection records in line with manufacturer and industry standards.
- Existence of training records for staff on equipment usage and safety protocols.
- Replacement or upgrade of equipment in line with technological advancements or ICAO SARP changes.
- Positive feedback from staff regarding the reliability and functionality of the equipment.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Gaps or inconsistencies in equipment maintenance and inspection records.
- Lack of or outdated documentation for equipment procurement or updates.
- Reports or evidence of frequent equipment breakdowns or malfunctions.
- Negative feedback from staff citing concerns or challenges related to equipment functionality or safety.
- Equipment not matching the specifications or standards set by ICAO SARPs or industry best practices.



11.3.7. Key SARPcheck Programme Terms:

12.3.7.1. Documented

The clear and precise representation of an operational specification, which has been published and accurately depicted within a structured document by the Operator/Provider. The document must be easily accessible, reflect current practices and procedures, and be aligned with the required standards and regulations.

Auditing Guidance

- Familiarise oneself with the operator's documentation hierarchy and system of record-keeping.
- Ascertain the processes and criteria the operator uses for the initiation, revision, and archival of its operational documents.
- Review sample operational specifications to verify that they are published and recorded in a manner that aligns with the required standards.
- Conduct interviews or discussions with key personnel responsible for documentation to gain insight into the documentation process and challenges, if any.
- Compare the documented operational specifications with actual operations to ensure alignment and relevance.

Possible indicators for Compliances

- Presence of a centralised documentation system.
- Clear records of revision histories and dates for each document.
- Availability of a systematic review process for all documents.
- Consistency in format, structure, and content across various documents.
- Evidence of periodic training and familiarisation sessions for personnel on the relevant documents.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances



- Discrepancies between documented operational specifications and actual operations.
- Absence of a revision history or irregular update frequencies.
- Documents not accessible to relevant personnel.
- Inconsistencies or contradictions within or between documents.
- Reports of personnel unawareness or unfamiliarity with current operational documents.

11.3.7.2. Controlled Document

Definition:

A specialised document that undergoes rigorous processes to ensure the utmost accuracy and consistency in its content. It is subject to methodologies that ensure controlled revision, authorised publication, systematic distribution, easy accessibility, and the defined retention period. This document should be resistant to unauthorised alterations and must be traceable in its version history.

Auditing Guidance

- Identify the mechanisms in place for the creation, revision, and distribution of controlled documents.
- Examine the processes for controlling and tracking document changes and ensuring only authorised personnel can make alterations.
- Assess the storage, backup, and archival methods to ensure document safety and long-term accessibility.
- Check for measures in place to retrieve previous versions or recover lost data.
- Interact with personnel to evaluate their awareness and understanding of controlled documents.

Possible indicators for Compliances



- Presence of strict access controls for document editing and revision.
- Detailed log of document changes, including author, date, and nature of change.
- Established protocols for document distribution and communication of changes.
- Secure and systematic storage, with backup mechanisms in place.
- Affirmation from staff about their understanding and adherence to controlled document procedures.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances

- Absence of a structured process for document revision or unauthorised changes.
- Inadequate storage or backup measures, risking document loss.
- Lack of awareness among staff regarding the importance and usage of controlled documents.
- Irregular or absent communication about document updates to relevant personnel.
- Controlled documents not readily accessible to those who require them for operational needs.

11.3.7.3. Implemented

Definition:

The operational specification's status when it has been methodically activated, integrated, established, incorporated, deployed, set up, and embedded into the operator's operational framework. It is further scrutinised, monitored, and assessed to confirm the achievement of intended goals within the operator's operations.

Auditing Guidance:

- Operational Verification: Observe the operator's day-to-day operations to ensure that the stated procedures are being practised in real-time.
- Engage directly with operational personnel to gauge their comprehension of and adherence to the specifications.



- Undertake firsthand observation of various operational tasks to understand practical implementation.
- Review of Monitoring and Evaluation Mechanisms: Investigate how the operator ensures ongoing oversight of the operational specification.
- Examine the frequency and method of evaluations.
- Analyse recorded anomalies or variances and the responses to those discrepancies.

Possible indicators for Compliances:

- Active practices that mirror the operator's operational specifications.
- Positive feedback and comprehension from operational staff about the specifications.
- Defined processes to address and rectify operational anomalies.
- Regular training or briefing sessions highlighting the importance of adhering to the operational specifications.

Possible indicators for non-Compliances:

- Observable gaps between stated operational specifications and actual practices.
- Reports of misunderstandings or unfamiliarity among operational staff concerning the specifications.
- Absence or irregularities in the monitoring and evaluation of operational procedures.
- Limited avenues for staff to report challenges or issues related to the operational specifications.

11.3.7.4. Sampling of Implementation Evidence

Introduction to Evidence Sampling



Evidence sampling is a crucial part of the SARPcheck audit process, ensuring that the operator's compliance with the ICAO SARPs is thoroughly and accurately assessed. This involves examining a range of items such as records, data, reports, documents, aircraft parts, etc., based on the specific requirements of the SARPs.

Guidelines for Sampling

Sampling Necessity: Sampling is essential for assessing SARP implementation, evaluating corrective actions, and confirming the effectiveness of interim and permanent corrective measures. The sampling method should be consistent across all audit phases.

Sampling Criteria:

Depending on the importance, risk, complexity, and frequency of the operational function or corrective action, sampling might need to be comprehensive. Auditor discretion plays a key role in determining the extent of sampling.

Selection Process:

Samples must be randomly chosen by the auditor from the entire pool of relevant records, data, or information. The auditee shall not influence the sample selection.

Representative Sampling:

To ascertain compliance, auditors shall choose a representative number of samples. For smaller data sets, a minimum of three samples is advisable, while larger sets require a proportionately larger sample size. The samples chosen should adequately represent the diversity and complexity of the organisation.

Internal Audits:

operators conducting internal audits should opt for larger sample sizes since they have more time and resources compared to external auditors.

Sample Selection Methods:



© 2024 SARP Limited - Edition 1 Rev.01 (27 March 2024) Document becomes uncontrolled once distributed Auditors may use either random or targeted methods for selecting samples. If initial samples do not provide sufficient information, the auditor should increase the sample size until a confident assessment of compliance can be made.

Practical Considerations

Timing of Sampling: While effort should be made to sample evidence during the SARP assessment, logistical constraints may necessitate delays. However, no evidence should be accepted post the on-site audit unless under specific circumstances like Observational Assessments or audit adjournments.

Maintaining Control:

Auditors must have a system to ensure that evidence sampling is not overlooked, especially when multiple SARPs are involved. This may involve keeping the SARP assessment status open until sampling is completed.

Auditor's Discretion and Control:

Auditors should exercise caution and judgement to ensure that the samples are either immediately selected by them or provided promptly by the auditee to avoid manipulation of evidence.

Best Practices for Auditors

Guidelines for Sampling: Auditors should follow established guidelines for evidence collection techniques during the SARPcheck audits.

Example - Training Records:

For auditing training records, auditors should select a subset from all fleets and operational groups. The selection process must be controlled by the auditor, either through random selection, specific record identification, or at regular intervals. The auditor must maintain control over this process, though the auditee may assist in gathering the selected records.



© 2024 SARP Limited - Edition 1 Rev.01 (27 March 2024) Document becomes uncontrolled once distributed

Conclusion

Effective sampling is vital for a comprehensive and accurate audit. It ensures that the audit process is thorough and the findings are reliable, thereby maintaining the integrity of the SARPcheck audit program.

11.3.7.5 Evidence Assessment

Evidence shall be collected through various methods, including but not limited to:

- Review and evaluation of relevant documents.
- Interviews with operator staff.
- Observations of facilities, equipment, and operations.
- Analysis of routine operational data (e.g., flight data, maintenance records).
- Examination of specific records like accident reports, performance evaluations, and supplier audits.
- Evaluating the Quality of Evidence
- The credibility of evidence is critical. Auditors must remain vigilant against potentially misleading or biased information. Situations to be wary of include:
 - Information from individuals lacking complete operational knowledge or audit requirement awareness.
 - Attempts by operator representatives to unduly influence the audit outcome.
 - Information sources with possible intentions to mislead or obstruct the audit process.

Determination of Implementation and compliance

Confirming a SARP's implementation may require evidence from multiple sources, especially in complex cases. A single piece of evidence is insufficient to declare compliance or non-compliance. Auditors must:

- Cross-verify evidence from various sources.
- Use professional judgement in making final assessments.



- Seek additional evidence if initial findings are inconclusive.
- In cases where an operator has recently started specific operations (e.g., Dangerous Goods handling), evidence might be limited. Here, auditors should apply informed judgement, considering the operational context.

11.3.7.6 Observational Assessments in SARPcheck

Observational Assessments are structured observations of the actual conduct of the operator across all sections/ disciplines which are covered by a SARPcheck audit.

Purpose and Importance

To corroborate evidence and assess compliance or non-compliance with SARPs through direct observation of operator's conduct and facilities.

Scheduling and Duration

Timing: Conducted within a 30-day window surrounding the main audit phase, aligning with the operational reality of the operator.

Duration: Tailored to provide thorough coverage while minimising operational disruption; typically ranging from several hours to a full day.

Scope of Observational Assessments

Operational Focus: Includes a broad range of activities within all sections/ scopes covered by a SARPcheck audit, with a focus on safety-critical and compliance-related areas.

Aircraft and Operations Coverage: Encompasses all aircraft types listed in the operator's specifications to ensure a holistic operational review.

Methodology of Observation



Preparation: Auditors thoroughly review relevant SARPs and familiarise themselves with the operator's operational context.

Observational Techniques: Emphasise objective, unbiased assessment with a non-intrusive approach to avoid influencing personnel behaviour.

Interaction with operator Personnel

Communication: Professional and clear, maintaining the observer role.

Confidentiality: Strict adherence to confidentiality protocols.

Special Considerations

Observing Outsourced Functions: Observational Assessments may include direct assessment of outsourced operations or the operator's oversight of these operations.

Handling Non-Assessable Observational Assessments: Alternative methods such as interviews or simulated scenarios are employed when direct observation is not feasible, subject to pre-audit SQO HQ approval.



12. THE AUDIT SOFTWARE

12.1. Introduction to the SARPcheck Audit Software

SARPcheck aims to introduce audit software to manage a systematic conduct of the audit and to collect SARPcheck audit program data for supporting the overall aim to maintain a high uniform level of aviation safety and to enhance aviation safety.

The SARPcheck Checklist shall be completed within the Audit Software, where possible. The audit software is the primary source for conducting the SARPcheck audit. A backup Microsoft Excel version of the checklist is the secondary source to be used as a back-up method in case the primary source experiences a downtime and/ or is not available for whatever reason.

The User Guide of the Audit Software provider is applicable for all SQOs, it's SARPcheck Auditors and Operators, including the responsible Managers.

12.2. Administration and responsibilities

The SQO is responsible to establish and schedule the SARPcheck audit in the audit software as soon as the SARPcheck Audit Agreement is executed and the dates for the conduct of the audit are agreed with the operator.

Each SARPcheck Auditor assigned for the conduct of this audit will have assigned responsibilities for the relevant checklists/ scopes within the Audit Software. In case of a software failure, the Auditor shall update the checklist in the software accordingly as soon as practicable whenever the excel checklist sheet has been utilised for the conduct of the audit.

The Lead Auditor will assign responsibilities within the SARPcheck Audit Software for Operators and the relevant managers for the corrective action process.

It is the responsibility of all parties involved to sign the audit report once the audit can be closed within the audit software.



12.3. Logins

All relevant parties will receive a Log-in by the system administrator, which is the selected SQO for the SARPcheck audit.



© 2024 SARP Limited - Edition 1 Rev.01 (27 March 2024) Document becomes uncontrolled once distributed

13. DATA

13.1. Introduction to Data

This section defines what data of the Operator is processed and stored by the SQO or another party contracted by the SQO in performance of the SCP, how it is processed and stored and how it is shared with third parties.

13.2. Data processing & storage

All audit data is processed through the SCP's contracted software as a service provider ("Audit Software Provider"). The data is then manipulated in order to produce the Audit Report and Non-Compliances Reports (where applicable). Other data and documents may be given to the SQOs or the Auditors in the performance of the SCA and stored on either the SQO's server or on the Auditor's personal devices.

In any event the Audit Software Provider, SQO and Auditor shall only process the Operator's identifiable data for the purpose of the SCP.

Although data derived from the SCA is processed by the parties identified above, any identifiable data is owned by the Operator.

Audit Software Provider

The Audit Software Provider stores and processes the majority of data received as a result of the performance of the SCA. The SCB ensures that the Audit Software Provider has storage and processing procedures that are secured in line with best practices in the EU and that the processing complies with EU GDPR regulations on processing and storage of Operator's data.

SQO and Auditor

The SQO shall ensure security of any of the Operator's data in accordance with EU best practices on processing and data storage and ensure that the process and storage complies with EU GDPR regulations.



© 2024 SARP Limited - Edition 1 Rev.01 (27 March 2024) Document becomes uncontrolled once distributed In the event that an Auditor receives any data from the Operator directly he/she shall ensure that the data is either, where most appropriate:

- Provided to the Audit Software Provider and then deleted from their personal device;
- Provided to the SQO and then deleted from their personal device; or
- Not disclosed to any other party and deleted from their personal device (only where the data received is not required either in completion of the SCA or as evidence).

The SQO shall ensure that the Auditor is aware of their responsibilities in regards to data.

13.3. Ownership of non-identified data

The SQOs, in their performance of the SCP each own the non-identifiable data derived from the SCAs.

The SQOs must ensure that any data that is provided to any third party, whether for consideration or otherwise, is provided to them after being checked for any identifiable data. The SQO shall be authorised to "wash" data in order to make it unidentifiable.



14. MISCELLANEOUS

14.1. Suspend AOC & removal from SARPcheck registry

In case the AOC of the SARPcheck Operator has been suspended, the Operator must notify the assigned SQO immediately and/ or as soon as possible, but without undue delay. The Operator must be removed from the SARPcheck registry without undue delay, but at the latest within 72 hours after the suspension of the AOC or after notification of the SQO.

14.2. Incidents and Accidents

In case the Operator is involved in an serious incident or accident, the SARPcheck Operator must notify the assigned SQO immediately and/ or as soon as possible, but without undue delay.

The SQO reserves the right to conduct a SARPcheck Safety Assessment, which focuses on the safety incident and/ or accident in accordance with SARPcheck criteria to verify and confirm compliance, identify hazards and associated risks to the operations. In case the Operator refuses to support or facilitate such SARPcheck Safety Assessment, the Operator may be removed from the SARPcheck registry at the sole discretion of the SQO until compliance against SARPcheck criteria can be verified by the relevant SQO.

In addition, the affected SARPcheck Operator shall provide all information of safety investigations conducted by Authorities, the Operator and/ or other industry stakeholders to the relevant SQO, including (but not limited to) the root causes and/ or hazards identified and the assessed risks, including mitigation actions/ risk controls as well as the conclusions of the investigation. The SQO shall review the investigation and reserve the right to conduct a SARPcheck inspection at the Operator to verify the implementation of the safety actions identified though the safety investigation.

All costs of such SARPcheck Safety Assessment shall be borne by the Operator. In case the Operator refuses to support or facilitate such SARPcheck Safety Assessment, the Operator may be removed from the SARPcheck registry at the sole discretion of the SQO until compliance against SARPcheck criteria can be verified by the relevant SQO.



© 2024 SARP Limited - Edition 1 Rev.01 (27 March 2024) Document becomes uncontrolled once distributed

14.3. Black-Listed/ banned Operator

In case the SARPcheck Operator has been black-listed by a NRCAA (e.g. EASA or FAA) or competent authority, the Operator must notify the assigned SQO immediately and/ or as soon as possible, but without undue delay. The Operator must be suspended from the SARPcheck registry without undue delay, but at the latest within three working days after the suspension of the AOC or after notification to the SQO that the Operator has been black-listed by a NRCAA.

The SQO may conduct a full SARPcheck audit and review the non-compliances identified, which initiated the black-listing of the Operator. All costs of that SARPcheck Audit shall be borne by the Operator. Such audit and review may support the Operator to demonstrate compliance against the relevant ICAO Annexes and in the closure of the Findings identified by the competent authorities.

The Operator can be reinstated to the SARPcheck registry once the Agency or competent Authority confirms compliance and removes the Operator from the black list ban.

